

GCE

Geography

Unit H481/03: Geographical debates

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2018

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2018

Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
|--------------|--|
| ? | Unclear or Indicates material for which there is no credit |
| BP | Blank page |
| EVAL | Evaluation |
| 5 | Synoptic link |
| IRRL | Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question |
| L1 | Level 1 |
| L2 | Level 2 |
| L3 | Level 3 |
| L4 | Level 4 |
| <pre>¿</pre> | Highlighting an issue e.g. irrelevant paragraph. Use in conjunction with another stamp e.g. or |
| SEEN | Used to denote that points had been seen and noted but mostly where credit was given |
| | Omission mark |
| NE | No place specific detail |
| R | Rubric error placed at start of response not being counted |

Subject-specific Marking Instructions

INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

USING THE MARK SCHEME

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

The Examiners' Standardisation Meeting will ensure that the Mark Scheme covers the range of candidates' responses to the questions, and that all Examiners understand and apply the Mark Scheme in the same way. The Mark Scheme will be discussed and amended at the meeting, and administrative procedures will be confirmed. Co-ordination scripts will be issued at the meeting to exemplify aspects of candidates' responses and achievements; the co-ordination scripts then become part of this Mark Scheme.

Before the Standardisation Meeting, you should read and mark in pencil a number of scripts, in order to gain an impression of the range of responses and achievement that may be expected.

In your marking, you will encounter valid responses which are not covered by the Mark Scheme: these responses must be credited. You will encounter answers which fall outside the 'target range' of Bands for the paper which you are marking. Please mark these answers according to the marking criteria.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

LEVELS OF RESPONSE QUESTIONS:

The indicative content indicates the expected parameters for candidates' answers, but be prepared to recognise and credit unexpected approaches where they show relevance.

Using 'best-fit', decide first which set of level descriptors best describes the overall quality of the answer. Once the level is located, adjust the mark concentrating on features of the answer which make it stronger or weaker following the guidelines for refinement.

Highest mark: If clear evidence of all the qualities in the level descriptors is shown, the HIGHEST Mark should be awarded.

Lowest mark: If the answer shows the candidate to be borderline (i.e. they have achieved all the qualities of the levels below and show limited evidence of meeting the criteria of the level in question) the LOWEST mark should be awarded.

Middle mark: This mark should be used for candidates who are secure in the level. They are not 'borderline' but they have only achieved some of the qualities in the level descriptors.

Be prepared to use the full range of marks. Do not reserve (e.g.) highest level marks 'in case' something turns up of a quality you have not yet seen. If an answer gives clear evidence of the qualities described in the level descriptors, reward appropriately.

Quality of extended response will be assessed in questions marked with an (*). Quality of extended response is not attributed to any single assessment objective but instead is assessed against the entire response for the question.

| | AO1 | AO2 | AO3 | Quality of extended response |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|
| Comprehensive | A wide range of detailed and accurate knowledge that demonstrates fully developed understanding that shows full relevance to the demands of the question. Precision in the use of question terminology. | Knowledge and understanding shown is consistently applied to the context of the question, in order to form a: clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate. clear, developed and convincing interpretation that is fully accurate. detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based. | Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a consistently appropriate and effective way and with a high degree of competence and precision. | There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. |
| Thorough | A range of detailed and accurate knowledge that demonstrates well developed understanding that is relevant to the demands of the question. Generally precise in the use of question terminology. | Knowledge and understanding shown is mainly applied to the context of the question, in order to form a : clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy. clear and developed interpretation that shows accuracy. detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions and evidence. | Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a suitable way and with a good level of competence and precision. | There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. |

| Reasonable | Some sound knowledge that demonstrates partially developed understanding that is relevant to the demands of the question. Awareness of the meaning of the terms in the question. | Knowledge and understanding shown is partially applied to the context of the question, in order to form a: sound analysis that shows some accuracy. sound interpretation that shows some accuracy. sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence. | Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used in a mostly suitable way with a sound level of competence but may lack precision. | The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. |
|------------|--|--|---|--|
| Basic | Limited knowledge that is relevant to the topic or question with little or no development. Confusion and inability to deconstruct terminology as used in the question. | Knowledge and understanding shows limited application to the context of the question in order to form a: simple analysis that shows limited accuracy. simple interpretation that shows limited accuracy. Un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions. | Quantitative, qualitative and/or fieldwork skills are used inappropriately with limited competence and precision. | The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. |

| Question | n Answer N | Marks | Guidance | | |
|----------------|--|----------------------|---|--|--|
| Question 1 (a) | Answer Identify three limitations of Fig.1 in showing the relationship between Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per person and total CO₂ emissions for selected countries. The scattergraph plots data for 10 countries across the development continuum. Possible limitations include: CO₂ emissions are the total for each country, not per person. The considerable contrasts in populations of the countries have quite an influence on the total emissions. GDP is per person but is in US \$ and so is a limited indicator of wealth due to factors such as currency fluctuations and the under-counting of informal and subsistence economic activities. No units for GDP per capita. The dates for the two variables are different – GDP 2015 and CO₂ 2014 – not comparing like with like. The 10 selected countries may not be a sufficiently large enough sample to offer a meaningful representation of the relationship. Absence of line of best fit makes it difficult to see the relationship (mention of the need for a statistical test in addition) Factors such as climatic influences need to be taken into account as regards CO₂ emissions | Marks 3 AO3 x3 | Guidance AO3 – 3 marks 3x1 (seen) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| (b) | Explain two ways that natural forcing has driven climate change in the geological past Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of two natural forcing processes in the geological past (AO1). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the link between two natural forcing processes and climate change in the geological past. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of one or two natural forcing processes in the geological past (AO1). This will be shown by including developed ideas about the link between one or two natural forcing processes in the geological past (AO1). This will be shown by including developed ideas about the link between one or two natural forcing processes and climate change in the geological past. Maximum L2 3 marks for one forcing process well-developed. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of one or two natural forcing processes in the geological past (AO1). | 6 AO1 x6 | Indicative content AO1 - 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of natural forcing processes and how they have driven climate change in the geological past could potentially include: Plate tectonics + continental drift – as continents broke apart and moved so distribution of land and sea across the latitudes varied. Earth's climate varied between greenhouse and icehouse Ocean circulation – ocean currents vital component of global energy budget transferring heat from low to high latitudes. Continental drift can alter pattern of ocean currents e.g. closing of the gap between Pacific and Atlantic with formation of Panama isthmus Natural changes in GHG – e.g. 50 million years ago CO2 at c. 1000 ppm; 3-5 million years ago CO2 at c. 400 ppm – causes considered to be creating of large-scale fold mt systems e.g. Himalayas which increased chemical weathering removing vast amounts of CO2 from atmosphere Milankovitch cycles – astronomical events e.g. changes in Earth's axis + orbit + precession of equinoxes. Operate on timescales of 10,000 to 100,000 years. Credit tilt and orbit eccentricity separately Volcanic eruptions – tend to affect shorter-term climate change Solar output – sunspots used as a proxy |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |

| C | Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|----------|---|-------------|---|
| 2 | (a) | Identify three limitations of Fig. 2 in showing the number of deaths from cholera in 2004. The map from the worldmapper range presents cholera death data from 2004 – the most recent available on the worldmapper sites. Possible limitations include: Not possible to determine the absolute number of deaths from cholera Not easy to tell the countries apart especially given the distortion of shape Country/national level data means that variations in impacts within a country are hidden Issues of reliability of data – is every country's data of the same reliability and accuracy? The data is now 14 years old and therefore may be out of date | 3 AO3 x3 | AO3 – 3 marks 3x1 (seen) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource. |
| | (b) | Explain how disease diffusion can take place. Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the process of diffusion (AO1). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about how disease diffusion can take place. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of process of diffusion (AO1). This will be shown by including developed ideas about how disease diffusion can take place. Level 1 (1–2 marks) | 6 AO1 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of disease diffusion could potentially include: Disease diffusion is the spatial spread outwards from an origin Expansion diffusion – from a source, disease spreads outwards into new areas, meanwhile carriers in source area remain infected e.g. TB Relocation diffusion – from a source disease moves into new areas spatially separate from the source e.g. people carrying a disease from one continent to another Contagious diffusion – from a source, disease spreads through direct contact with a carrier – strongly influenced |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| | Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of | | by distance e.g. ebola |
| | process of diffusion (AO1). There may be simple ideas about how disease diffusion can take place. 0 marks | | Hierarchical diffusion – from a source disease spreads through an ordered sequence of places usually from largest well connected locations eventually to more isolated and smaller centres. Can also be seen along transport networks |
| | No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Hägerstrand's probabilistic diffusion model - neighbourhood effect and S-shaped curve |
| | | | • The role of barriers, physical or human, is relevant providing it is explicitly related to the operation of disease diffusion. |

| | Questio | n Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|---------|--|-------------|---|
| 3 | (a) | Identify three limitations of Fig. 3 as a source of information about humpback whale populations. The table of data presents data about numbers of humpback whales in various ocean areas. Possible limitations include: Numbers can only be 'estimates'; impossible to count exact numbers of such a highly mobile species Ocean areas are vast so accurate and reliable counting impossible Vague definition of ocean areas and very different areas Counts may have been taken over extended time periods therefore same individuals may have been counted more than once Different dates when counts taken so not comparing like with like Accuracy and reliability of data | 3 AO3 x3 | AO3 – 3 marks 3x1 (seen) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource. |
| | (b) | Explain variations in nutrient supply within oceansLevel 3 (5–6 marks)Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of nutrient supply in oceans (AO1).This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about how nutrient supply varies within oceans.Level 2 (3–4 marks)Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of nutrient supply in oceans (AO1).This will be shown by including developed ideas about how nutrient supply in oceans (AO1).This will be shown by including developed ideas about how nutrient supply varies within oceans.Level 1 (1–2 marks)Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of | 6 AO1 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of how nutrient supply varies within oceans could potentially include: Nutrient levels generally relatively low at ocean surface Dissolved nutrients brought into oceans by rivers so ocean areas in immediate vicinity of river mouths have relatively higher nutrient levels e.g. Atlantic off mouth of Amazon + estuaries and deltas e.g. Nile Mineral dust blown off land so ocean locations far away from land low in nutrients e.g. large areas of Pacific |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|----------|--|---------|---|--|
| | nutrient supply in oceans (AO1). There may be simple ideas about how nutrient supply | | • | Surface nutrients soon used up or sink as 'marine snow' to ocean depths |
| | varies within oceans. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | 0 marks | • | Deep ocean water cold and dense so cannot rise to surface through thermocline so nutrients stay at depth |
| | | | • | At some locations, upwelling of water from depth brings nutrients with it e.g. Southern Ocean around Antarctica |
| | | | • | Cold and hot (hydrothermal vents) seeps in ocean deeps locations of dissolved nutrients e.g. along mid-oceanic ridges + cold seeps in Gulf of Mexico |

| | Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|----------|---|-------------|--|
| 4 | (a) | Identify three limitations of Fig. 4 as a source of information about the impact of food production on physical environments. The photograph shows a group of farm-workers in India involved in spraying a crop. Possible limitations include: No idea what is being sprayed – may or may not be toxic / biodegradable Not sure how often the spraying has taken place May be a very still day so no drift so less impact What was the physical environment like before it was converted to agriculture e.g. tree clearance or draining of wetland? Could be a monoculture which is likely to have negative impacts on soil for e.g. or part of a | AO3 x3 | AO3 – 3 marks 3x1 (seen) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource. |
| | (b) | rotation. Explain the theoretical views of food security offered by Malthus and Boserup. Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the theoretical views of both Malthus and Boserup (AO1). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the theoretical views of Malthus and Boserup regarding food security. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the theoretical views of Malthus and/or Boserup (AO1). | 6 AO1 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of the theoretical views of Malthus and Boserup regarding food security could potentially include: Malthus – theory that an optimum population exists in relation to food supply and any increase beyond this threshold will lead to 'war, famine + disease.' Malthus – in absence of natural checks, human population ↑ at a geometric rate (1, 2, 4, 8, 16 etc) Malthus – food supply ↑ at an arithmetic rate (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, etc) Malthus – natural checks to pop. Growth could be avoided if 'preventive checks' occur e.g. abstinence, later age of |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| | This will be shown by including developed ideas about the theoretical views of Malthus and/or Boserup regarding food security. Maximum L2 3 marks for discussion of either Malthus or Boserup. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the theoretical views of Malthus and/or Boserup (AO1). There may be simple ideas about the theoretical views of Malthus and/or Boserup regarding food security. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | marriage Boserup – as population ↑, demand for food ↑ and this results in agriculture intensifying. Boserup – agricultural production ↑ due to reductions in fallow land and changes to land tenure systems Boserup – saying 'necessity is the mother of invention' associated with her as technology is applied to agriculture e.g. 'Green Revolution' of 1960s |

| (| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|----------|--|-------------|---|
| 5 | (a) | Identify three limitations of Fig. 5 as a source of information about impacts of earthquakes on people. | 3 AO3 x3 | AO3 – 3 marks 3x1 (seen) for limitations of the data identified through critical questioning of the resource. |
| | | The text extract focuses on the impacts of earthquakes. Possible limitations include: No quantitative indication of earthquake energy released in the China event | | |
| | | No indication of non-fatal casualties including long-term injuries e.g. amputations from the two events mentioned | | |
| | | Lower energy events not detailed ' have also killed.' | | |
| | | • Economic impacts – quantified but in US \$ so not clear as to what this means locally i.e. purchasing power | | |
| | | Several statistics are estimated | | |
| | | Only two Asian earthquakes are mentioned within the text – we are lacking information on the impacts of earthquakes elsewhere | | |
| | | No indication of short, medium or long-term issues of welfare e.g. housing, clean water supply, food supply | | |
| | | Provenance of text | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| (b) | Explain the features of explosive volcanic eruptions. Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the features of explosive eruptions (AO1). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas explaining the features of explosive eruptions. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the features of explosive eruptions (AO1). This will be shown by including developed ideas explaining the features of explosive eruptions (AO1). This will be shown by including developed ideas explaining the features of explosive eruptions. Maximum L2 3 marks for a purely descriptive response. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the features of explosive eruptions (AO1). There may be simple ideas explaining the features of explosive eruptions. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | 6 AO1 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 marks Knowledge and understanding of the features of explosive eruptions could potentially include: Tend to involve acidic lava e.g. rhyolite and andesite Acidic lava (high % silica), high viscosity, lower temperature at eruption Violent bursting of gas bubbles when magma reaches surface; highly explosive eruption; pyroclastic flows Materials erupted can include gases, dust, ash, lava bombs, tephra Frequency of eruption – tend to have long periods with no activity |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|------------------------|--|
| 6 | Examine how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes within any one landscape system you have studied. Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of climate change and weathering and erosion processes (AO1). Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes in a landscape system (AO2). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the relationship between climate change and both weathering and erosion processes in a landscape system. | 12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 Marks Knowledge and understanding of climate change and weathering and erosion processes could potentially include: evidence of how climate has changed e.g. warming of past two hundred years; changes to precipitation patterns in previous pluvial periods affecting drylands; longer term climate changes affecting landscapes; rising levels relevant specific points will depend on the landscape system studied by the candidate, coastal, glaciated or dryland – only one is studied weathering processes such as mechanical, chemical + biological erosional processes e.g. abrasion, attrition, hydraulic action |
| | There are clear and explicit attempts to make appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of climate change and weathering and erosion processes (AO1). Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes in a landscape system (AO2). | | AO2 - 6 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes could potentially include: some points will depend on the landscape system studied by the candidate, coastal, glaciated or dryland – only one is studied weathering processes (chemical, physical + biological) likely to be more active due to higher temperatures erosional processes likely to be more active due to higher temperatures e.g. increased meltwater at glacier base |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|---|
| | This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about climate change and either weathering or erosion processes or developed ideas about climate change and both weathering and erosion processes. There are clear attempts to make synoptic links | | | leads to higher ice velocities; increased atmospheric energy leads to stronger winds giving greater wave energy to erode coastlines and more aeolian energy for corrosion/attrition/deflation in dryland landscapes→ generate more material available to be transported as well as transporting more material themselves |
| | between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate. Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and | | • | regions becoming drier as a result of climate change likely to experience reduction in chemical weathering and less water erosion for example. However, with reduction in vegetation cover, fluvial erosion might increase |
| | understanding of climate change and weathering and erosion processes (AO1). | | • | changes to the levels and types of precipitation affecting erosion such as river action in glaciated and dryland regions |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes in a landscape system (AO2). | | • | increase in temperatures extend area affected by periglacial process as glaciers and ice sheets retreat; |
| | This will be shown by including developed ideas about climate change and either weathering and/or erosion processes. | | | |
| | There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant. | | | |
| | Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of climate change and weathering and erosion processes (AO1). | | | |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows | | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|----------|
| | limited accuracy of how climate change can affect weathering and erosion processes in a landscape system (AO2). | | |
| | This will be shown by including simple ideas about climate change and either weathering and/or erosion processes. | | |
| | There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|------------------------|---|
| 7 | Examine how disease risks can impact place profiles. Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of disease risks and place profiles (AO1). | 12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 Marks Knowledge and understanding of disease risks and place profiles could potentially include: disease risk - physical factors e.g. stagnant surface water; anticyclonic atmospheric conditions; temperature; prevailing wind direction |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how disease risks can impact place profiles (AO2). | | disease risk - human factors e.g. level of economic development; level of nutrition – quantity and quality of diet; cultural factors e.g. attitudes towards risk factors |
| | This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about the relationship between disease risks and place profiles. | | place profiles – natural / physical characteristics e.g. altitude, drainage, climate and weather place profiles – human characteristics e.g. demography; |
| | There are clear and explicit attempts to make appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. Level 3 (7–9 marks) | | socio-economic; cultural; political; built environment AO2 – 6 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how disease risks can impact place profiles could potentially include: |
| | Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of disease risks and place profiles (AO1). Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | | where disease risk is high due to physical factors e.g. hot and humid locations → malaria/dengue fever/ yellow fever, place profiles tend to be characterised as 'unhealthy' |
| | understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how disease risks can impact place profiles (AO2). This will be shown by including developed ideas | | places with a high disease risk due to prevalence of epidemics might experience significant demographic changes e.g. HIV + Aids in some locations decimated adult populations leaving large numbers of children and elderly → socio-economic characteristics |
| | about disease risk and place profiles. | | places perceived as unhealthy tend to be occupied by |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| | There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate. Level 2 (4–6 marks) | | marginalised groups e.g. shanty / squatter settlements in LIDC cities but also in some ACs and EDCs affecting the socio-economic, demographic, political and built characteristics of such places |
| | Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of disease risks and place profiles (AO1). Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge | | places with higher disease risk in AC cities e.g. inner cities (e.g. reduced access to health services; higher pollution levels) impacts on place profiles of those locations → demography (absence of families); socio- economically (absence of higher status); culturally (can have clusters of ethnic minorities) |
| | and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how disease risks can impact place profiles (AO2). | | some places have a seasonal change in their disease risk due to environmental factors and so vary in their place profiles e.g. impact of monsoon |
| | This will be shown by including sound ideas about disease risk and place profiles.There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant. | | climate change altering disease risks e.g. extending range of vector-borne diseases e.g. West Nile virus in North America impacting on place profiles |
| | Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of disease risks and place profiles (AO1). | | |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how disease risks can impact place profiles (AO2). | | |
| | This will be shown by including simple ideas about disease risk and place profiles. | | |
| | There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. | | |

H481/03

| C | Questic | on | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|---------|----|--|-------|----------|
| | | | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|------------------------|---|
| 8 | Assess ways in which ocean processes influence the carbon cycle. Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle (AO1). Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how ocean processes influence the carbon cycle (AO2). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle. There are clear and explicit attempts to make | 12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 Marks Knowledge and understanding of relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle could potentially include: oceans (and seas) cover c. 71% Earth's surface with > half being >3 km deep – therefore a very significant part of the land/ atmosphere/ocean system this system has flows, stores and processes operating which influence the carbon cycle ocean currents, surface and deep, circulate water around the globe and thereby anything dissolved in sea water the carbon cycle is a closed system on the global scale, with carbon atoms cycling on time scales varying from days to millions of years |
| | There are clear and explicit attempts to make appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle (AO1). Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how ocean processes influence the carbon cycle (AO2). | | days to millions of years main pathways between carbon stores are photosynthesis, respiration, oxidation + weathering AO2 – 6 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how oceans influence the carbon cycle could potentially include: oceans absorb carbon by two mechanisms – a physical pump and a biological pump physical (inorganic) pump – mixing of deep + surface waters disperses carbon throughout the oceans CO2 enters oceans from atmosphere by diffusion. |
| | This will be shown by including developed ideas about relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle. There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate. | | Surface currents transport dissolved CO2 polewards where water cools, its density ↑ and sinks e.g. between Greenland + Iceland dissolved carbon carried to ocean depths – may remain there for centuries |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|---|
| | Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and | | • | eventually cold carbon-rich water rises to surface where upwelling occurs + CO2 diffuses back to atmosphere |
| | understanding of relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle (AO1). Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge | | • | biological (organic) pump – phytoplankton in upper layer of oceans absorb carbon through photosynthesis – c. 50% of all carbon fixed by photosynthesis. |
| | and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how ocean processes influence the carbon cycle (AO2). | | • | eventually this carbon either accumulates in ocean bed sediments via food chains or death or released by decomposition into ocean as CO2. |
| | This will be shown by including sound ideas about relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle. | | • | some marine organisms manufacture substances incorporating carbon e.g. shells + skeletons by extracting carbonate from sea water. This finds its way to ocean bed sediments via food chains or death |
| | There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant. | | • | oceans receive weathered material from land via rivers which include carbon. This settles out in ocean bed sediments – has a very long residency time. |
| | Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle (AO1). | | • | comments about impact of climate change, rising SSTs and CO2 exchange relevant |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how ocean processes influence the carbon cycle (AO2). | | | |
| | This will be shown by including simple ideas about relevant ocean processes and the carbon cycle. | | | |
| | There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. | | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|------------------------|--|
| 9 | Assess how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity. Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of food security and either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1). Demonstrates comprehensive application of | 12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 Marks Knowledge and understanding of food security and either human rights or territorial integrity could potentially include: UN FAO definition 'food security exists when all people, at all times have physical and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life' |
| | knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2). | | • FAO and World Food Programme also identify physical availability of food; physical and economic access to food; food utilisation (how the body makes the most of nutrients in food); stability i.e. periodic influences on availability and access to food |
| | This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about food security and either human rights or territorial integrity There are clear and explicit attempts to make | | Human rights – basic rights + freedoms to which all people are entitled. 1948 UN General Assembly Universal declaration of Human rights (UNHDR). Variety of issues contained e.g. children's rights, gender inequalities and forced labour |
| | appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of food security and either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1). | | • Territorial integrity – the principle that the defined territory of a state, over which it has exclusive and legitimate control, is inviolable. Closely linked with idea of sovereignty |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2). | | AO2 – 6 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity could potentially include: Food security can be threatened or supported by issues |
| | This will be shown by including developed ideas | | concerning human rights. Where human rights are upheld then food security tends to be at a high level e.g. ACs and for many people in EDCs. In too many LIDCs, human |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| | about food security and either human rights or territorial integrity. | | | rights are not upheld and food security is at low levels e.g. Sudan and South Sudan |
| | There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate. Level 2 (4–6 marks) | | • | Variations in food security with a country, either spatially or by group of people, can be threatened due to issues of human rights e.g. internally displaced people or by marginalisation of a particular group e.g. Syria, Iraq, Colombia |
| | Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of food security and either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1). | | • | Factors such as land ownership and land grabbing can have human rights issue wrapped up in them which can affect food security e.g. DRCongo, Ethiopia |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge | | • | TNCs or countries that land grab denying locals access to land relevant |
| | and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity (AO2). | ood security can be nan rights or territorial | Food security can be threatened or supported by issues concerning territorial integrity. Where territorial integrity is secure then food security tends to be at a high level e.g. ACs and most EDCs and LIDCs. | |
| | This will be shown by including sound ideas about food security and either human rights or territorial integrity. There are some attempts to make synoptic links | | including civil war, threaten food security cannot access their fields to sow or harve killed; use of mines / IEDs restrict access land e.g. Afghanistan, Iraq, Mozambique Where territorial integrity is compromised | Locations where tensions break out into physical conflict including civil war, threaten food security e.g. farmers cannot access their fields to sow or harvest; livestock killed; use of mines / IEDs restrict access to agricultural land e.g. Afghanistan, Irag. Mozambigue |
| | between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant. | | | Where territorial integrity is compromised e.g. civil war/border conflict, NGOs (Oxfam, Christian Aid, |
| | Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of food security and either human rights or territorial integrity (AO1). | | | CAFOD) can intervene to try and improve food security |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how food security can be affected by issues of either human rights or territorial integrity | | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | (AO2). | | |
| | This will be shown by including simple ideas about food security and either human rights or territorial integrity. | | |
| | There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|------------------------|--|
| | Assess how tectonic hazards impact either global trade or global migration. Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration (AO1). Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how tectonic hazards can impact either global trade or global migration (AO2). This will be shown by including well-developed ideas about tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration. There are clear and explicit attempts to make appropriate synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration (AO1). Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how tectonic hazards can impact either global trade or global migration (AO2). This will be shown by including developed ideas about tectonic hazards and either global migration (AO2). This will be shown by including developed analysis that shows accuracy of how tectonic hazards can impact either global trade or global migration (AO2). This will be shown by including developed ideas about tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration. | 12 AO1 x6 AO2 x6 | Indicative content AO1 – 6 Marks Knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration could potentially include: tectonic hazards – volcanic - lava, pyroclastic flows, tephra especially ash, lahars, toxic gas, floods, tsunami tectonic hazards – earthquake – ground shaking + displacement, liquefaction, landslides + avalanches, tsunami global trade – merchandise, services and capital – direction of flows, volumes, composition (e.g. primary / secondary goods) and value global migration – dynamic flows of people between countries, regions and continents – numbers, composition (e.g. ages / gender) and direction AO2 – 6 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse how tectonic hazards can impact either global trade or global migration could potentially include: tectonic hazards can negatively impact global trade e.g. volcanic eruption which produces much ash can impact air transport e.g. Eyjafjallajökull, Iceland 2010 tectonic hazards can negatively impact global trade e.g. earthquake which damages port facilities e.g. Kobe, Japan 1995 tectonic hazards can negatively impact global trade e.g. tsunami damaging port facilities e.g. Aceh, 2004 and Japan 2011 tectonic hazards can cause landslides which block land |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|--|
| | There are clear attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always appropriate. | | • | routes e.g. Kashmir 2005 preventing cross-border trade tectonic hazards can encourage out-migration from a location e.g. a severe earthquake can be the 'final straw' encouraging some to leave e.g. Haiti 2010 (migration to Brazil) and Nepal 2015 (increase in human trafficking) |
| | Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration (AO1). | | • | tectonic hazards can encourage out-migration from a location e.g. severe volcanic eruption such as Montserrat 1995 \rightarrow |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how tectonic hazards can | | • | positive impacts - volcanic activity can ↑ tourism which leads to employment opportunities e.g. Etna, Hawaii |
| | impact either global trade or global migration (AO2). | | • | positive impacts – volcanic activity often gives fertile soils thereby reducing out-migration |
| | This will be shown by including sound ideas about tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration. | | • | positive impacts – hazards can create demand for equipment to help deal with damage – boosts trade |
| | | | • | positive impacts – short-term in-migration of aid and relied personnel |
| | There are some attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study but these are not always relevant. | | • | discussion regarding the lack of impact on migration are equally valid e.g. in some ACs, resources to support people have meant that migration hasn't occurred |
| | Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration (AO1). | | • | impact on migration could be affected by the scale of the tectonic event (most tectonic events are low/medium energy and therefore pose a limited hazard impact) |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how tectonic hazards can impact either global trade or global migration (AO2). | | | |
| | This will be shown by including simple ideas about tectonic hazards and either global trade or global migration | | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|----------|
| | There are limited attempts to make synoptic links between content from different parts of the course of study. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|---|
| 11* | 'To what extent is the debate over climate change influenced by a variety of agendas.' Discuss. AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the climate change debate. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the climate change debate could potentially include: A brief outline of the global warming debate. Scientific consensus on climate change. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the climate change debate. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the climate change debate | | Evolution of a debate over time. A range of stakeholders hold views on climate change: governments, international organisations (UN), official bodies (IPCC), NGOs, media, energy industries, the public. |
| | the climate change debate. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the climate change debate. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which the debate over climate change is driven by self-interests of different groups could potentially include: Climate change is a global issue requiring a coordinated response which can cause individual countries to protect their position. |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of the self-interests of different groups within the climate change debate. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and | | UN and EU have taken a prominent role but views may be dominated by the most powerful member states e.g. US, China, Germany and their own self-interest. Global consensus is difficult to meet – Kyoto Protocol was never ratified by the US and China; Japan and Russia withdrew from the second commitment pariad. |
| | understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which the debate over climate change is driven by self-interests of different groups. | | commitment period. Individual countries protect their position on energy security and industrial development. National governments have a range of positions when approaching debate; India and China as |

H481/03

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. | | industrial super powers, scepticism of countries such as the US. |
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of the self-interests of different groups within the climate change debate. Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which the debate over climate change is driven by self-interests of different groups. Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the self-interests of different groups within the climate change debate. | | such as the US. Countries produce their own climate change laws that may not reflect the global consensus. View by emerging economies and low income countries that the advanced nations have created the problem and should therefore bear the cost. And concern that reducing GGE will reduce their ability to develop. Political leanings of the media. The view of energy industries with a financial interest in the debate. Many are based in emerging nations eg Mexico, Nigeria. View of nations and industries with a high dependency on the livestock sector will have a protectionist viewpoint. Delivering clean air technology and alternative energy supplies requires a level of investment that EDCs and LIDCs may not have. View that the public are more driven to action if they understand how climate change will affect them – |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which the debate over climate change is driven by self-interests of different groups. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited | | the self-interest argument. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | accuracy of the self-interests of different groups within the climate change debate. | | |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which the debate over climate change is driven by self-interests of different groups. | | |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |
| | Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 12* | 'A country's decisions on mitigation strategies to cope with climate change are mainly influenced by economic factors.' How far do you agree with this statement? AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the economic factors that influence decisions on mitigation. Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the economic factors that influence decisions on mitigation. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the | Marks 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the economic factors that influence decisions on mitigation could potentially include: Mitigation strategies aim to reduce GHG emissions and tackle the causes of climate change. These tend to be long term approaches. Mitigation strategies range from the ratification of international treaties and agreements to specific practices within a country, for example energy efficiency and conservation. Economic factors influencing decision could include: |
| | economic factors that influence decisions on mitigation. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the economic factors that influence decisions on mitigation. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Cost of new technology- carbon capture, geo- engineering, sequestration technology Economic needs of different land use e.g. plantation agriculture for export crops Cost of the introduction of new farming practices which improve productivity and reduce negative impacts of farming such as deforestation. |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how economic factors influence decisions on the mitigation of climate change. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to whether decisions on | | Cost of infrastructure improvements for more environmentally friendly road and air travel. Economic cost of improved energy efficiency and the development of alternative fuels e.g. renewable technology View by EDCs and LIDCs that ACs have created the problem and should therefore bear the cost Concern of EDCs and LIDCs that reducing GGE will reduce their ability to develop. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|---|--|
| | mitigation strategies are influenced by economic factors more than other factors. | | AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate whether decisions on mitigation strategies |
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. | | are influenced by economic factors more than other factors could potentially include: |
| | Level 3 (13–18 marks) | | Multiple factors can influence a county's decision to |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | | adopt specific mitigation strategies: |
| | understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that | | Adaptation strategies aim to offer greater protection |
| | shows accuracy of how economic factors influence decisions on the mitigation of climate change. | | to those people and environments already facing risks from climate change, for example hard engineering. Mitigation and adaptation are |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | | complimentary. |
| | understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to whether decisions on mitigation strategies are | | • Economic factors are important however, a range of technological, social, political and environmental factors will also be a consideration. |
| | influenced by economic factors more than other factors. | | Environmental concerns relating to the level of |
| | Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some | | impact within countries. |
| | authority. | | Social factors such as public engagement |
| | Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and | | The level of expertise and training in specialist fields |
| | understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some | | • The political will of the government. |
| | accuracy of how economic factors influence decisions on the mitigation of climate change. | | • Social acceptance – countries vary in willingness to engage with costly schemes. |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to whether decisions on mitigation strategies are | | • Cost benefit analysis by individual countries – if a country faces modest negative impacts of climate change the cost of implementing mitigation strategies may outweigh their benefits. |
| | influenced by economic factors more than other factors. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | Adoption of mitigation degree to which a go part of the cause, an | • Adoption of mitigation strategies may vary with the degree to which a government sees its country as part of the cause, an AC will be more accountable in this respect than an LIDC potentially. |
| | | | |
| | | | Emission targets are debated at a global level and |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| | Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how economic factors influence decisions on the mitigation of climate change. Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to whether decisions on mitigation strategies are influenced by economic factors more than other factors. Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | level of economic development is not the only driving factor in these complex discussions. Political commitment and willingness to engage in climate change mitigation must be balanced against a range of immediate domestic concerns. For example, individual countries may need a focus of resources on e.g. water supply, food production, industrial development and job creation, tackling poverty, disease and ill health. The specification requires case study knowledge of the technological, socio-economic and political challenges associated with effective mitigation facing countries and this should form exemplification within this essay. |

| Q | uesti | on | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|---|-------|----|--|-------|----------|
| | | | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|---|
| 13* | 'Physical factors will determine the future global pattern of disease prevalence.' Discuss. AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that influence the global pattern of disease prevalence. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that influence the future global pattern of disease prevalence could potentially include: Climatic factors such as temperature and precipitation. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that influence the global pattern of disease prevalence. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that influence the global pattern of disease prevalence. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the physical factors that influence the global pattern of disease prevalence. | | Extremes of climate lead to disease – drought (lack of food to maintain health and diseases related to malnutrition), floods and unseasonal heavy rainfall leading to water borne diseases. Extremes of temperature – cold – flu and pneumonia. Relief – flat flood plains become waterlogged and water borne disease such as dysentery and hepatitis A and E spread. Air quality – leading to cardiovascular and respiratory illness. Water sources leading to water related vectors e.g. |
| | prevalence. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how physical factors could influence the future global pattern of disease prevalence. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and | | mosquitoes. AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which physical factors will determine the future global pattern of disease more than any other factors could potentially include: Physical factors will continue to determine pattern of disease prevalence in the short term with seasonal changes and in the long term due to the impacts of climate change. Changes in the global pattern of infectious diseases are a likely major consequence of climate change. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|--|
| | understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which physical factors will determine the future global pattern of disease more than any other factors. Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. | | A detailed discussion could include the link between malaria and climate and changing distributions as temperature, humidity and rainfall patterns vary in the future. There could also be a decline in vector diseases dependent on climatic conditions as some areas |
| | Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how physical factors could influence the future global pattern of disease prevalence. Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which physical factors will determine the future global pattern of disease more than any other factors. | | become drier and warmer. Many diseases are climate sensitive and therefore physical factors will always be a key determinant of global pattern – malaria, dengue fever, cholera and these will continue to be affected by climate in the short and long term. There are other factors which will affect the global pattern of disease prevalence in the future: Social factors – changing pattern of non-communicable diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease and some cancers, related to changing lifestyle associated with rising affluence and development. |
| | Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how physical factors could influence the future global pattern of disease prevalence. Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which physical factors will determine the future global pattern of disease more than any | | Cultural factors – spread of western culture can affect dietary choices and lead to an increased prevalence of certain diseases. Technological advancements in medicines will alter the global pattern of disease prevalence. Immunisation programmes, better health care education and improved infrastructure developments will, in the future, increase access and engagement with immunization programmes and change the pattern of disease prevalence. This reflects the impact of economic and social factors. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | | Guidance |
|--|---|-------|---|--|
| othe Cor Lev Den und acci glob Den und sim dete othe Cor 0 m No i Qua Lev The logic sub | Answer ler factors. Incepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. vel 1 (1–6 marks) monstrates basic application of knowledge and derstanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited curacy of how physical factors could influence the future bal pattern of disease prevalence. monstrates basic application of knowledge and derstanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers half of provide an un-supported evaluation that offers half conclusions as to the extent to which physical factors will termine the future global pattern of disease more than any ter factors. Incepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. marks response or no response worthy of credit. tality of extended response vel 4 ere is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and ically structured. The information presented is relevant and ostantiated. vel 3 ere is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The ormation presented is in the most-part relevant and supported some evidence. | Marks | • | Guidance Processes such as increasing rates of urbanization over time in the developing parts of the world could lead to an increase of diseases related to poor and cramped living conditions e.g. cholera. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|---|
| 14* | Assess the view that grass roots strategies are the most effective ways of dealing with disease risk and eradication. AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of different strategies of dealing with disease risk and eradication. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of different grass roots strategies for dealing with disease risk and eradication could potentially include: Community based projects to encourage the uptake of vaccines. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of different strategies of dealing with disease risk and eradication. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of different strategies of dealing with disease risk and eradication. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of different strategies of dealing with disease risk and eradication. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Projects led by volunteers with agencies such as the WHO working with families at the local level. Local level education programmes such as education on basic hygiene to tackle the spread of Ebola. Projects to improve disease recognition so that early treatment is accessed. The work of global organisations and NGOs such as UNICEF, WHO, Medicines Sans Frontiers, Christian Aid, WaterAid. National campaigns run by central governments such as MMR vaccinations, anti-smoking, obesity/diabetes, HIV/AIDS. |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of the effectiveness of grass roots strategies. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to whether grass roots strategies are more effective than other strategies. | | AO2 - 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate whether grass roots strategies are more effective than other strategies could potentially include: With campaigns by global organisations both physical access and local engagement can provide obstacles. Poor infrastructure development may prevent teams of medical personnel reaching out to remote areas, also cultural and language difficulties may present a further barrier. Some of the worst affected areas for disease outbreak are often in politically unstable areas |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| Question | AnswerRelevant concepts are authoritatively discussed.Level 3 (13–18 marks)Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of the effectiveness of grass roots strategies.Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to whether grass roots strategies are more effective than other strategies.Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority.Level 2 (7–12 marks)Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the effectiveness of grass roots strategies.Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of the effectiveness of grass roots strategies.Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to whether grass roots strategies are more effective than other strategies.Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision.Level 1 (1–6 marks)Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of the effectivenees of grass roots strategies. | Marks | Guidance meaning that grassroots participation is restricted and medical staff must work in dangerous situations e.g. Syria. Key groups, especially women, are crucial in the uptake of grass roots strategies, many medical organisations focus on their work with women – a range of examples can be drawn upon for exemplification. Success of any strategy, including grass roots, is dependent upon both financial and human resources. Often international organisations and NGOs must rely on volunteers and local people if there is a language barrier. In situations where there are dangers from the risk of infection (e.g. Ebola) or from personal safety (e.g. areas with civil unrest and terrorism) it may be difficult to recruit staff. All strategies require the willingness and engagement of national governments who may present political obstacles. With improvements in internet access and other forms of communication national campaigns have more success and can reach more people than labour intensive grass roots campaigns. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to whether grass roots strategies are more effective than other strategies. | | |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |
| | Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------------------------|---|
| 15* | 'Economic factors account for rising levels of oceanic pollution'. Discuss AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the economic factors that account for rising oceanic pollution |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of economic factors that lead to oceanic pollution. Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of | | could potentially include: Rising energy demand for fuel, domestic and industrial use leads to increased use and transportation of oil which can pollute oceans through runoff and spills. |
| | economic factors that lead to oceanic pollution. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of economic factors that lead to oceanic pollution. | | Rising demand for resources to drive industrial development lead to pollution in oceans e.g. runoff from mining activities. Increased affluence leads to increased use and |
| | Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of economic factors that lead to oceanic pollution. 0 marks | | demand for oil and other fuels. Increased economic activity in other sectors e.g. primary sector – farming – pollution from fertilizer and agrochemicals and increased activity in the service sector – tourism, leading to increase of cruise ships which leads to oceanic pollution. |
| | No response or no response worthy of credit. AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) | | Many industrial sectors are using more chemicals and other pollutants which find their way to oceans – plastics, heavy metals. |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how economic factors account | | Increased flows of goods due to globalisation leads to pollution of the oceans through increased trade and more use of shipping routes. |
| | for oceanic pollution. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and | | Combustion of fossil fuels (atmospheric CO2 rises, increasing ocean acidity) |
| | understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which economic factors account for rising oceanic pollution more than other factors. | | AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate whether economic factors account for rising oceanic pollution more than other factors could |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|--|
| Question | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how economic factors account for oceanic pollution. Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational | Marks | potentially include: Social factors such as the assumption that the oceans are so vast and deep that pollutants will be dispersed and diluted. This leads to increased levels of activities where waste is dumped at sea. Political factors – for example a lack of legislation to regulate the waste from domestic and industrial sources that ends up in oceans. Economic factors lead to oceanic pollution through |
| | Generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which economic factors account for rising oceanic pollution more than other factors. Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how economic factors account for oceanic pollution. Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which economic factors. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | | large volumes of waste from an increasing amount of industrial activity and disposal of waste products. Some countries are increasing their use of nuclear power as part of their long-term energy strategy and this leads to increased amounts of radioactive waste; the oceans continue to be seen as the safest method of dealing with radioactive waste. Some natural processes can contribute to oceanic pollution e.g. eutrophication and the production of algal blooms leading to the reduction of oxygen in the water and the death of marine species. Transport is a major economic cause of oceanic pollution. Oil remains one of the most dangerous pollution sources in the oceans with widespread and long term consequences. |
| | Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how economic factors account for oceanic | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | pollution. | | |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which economic factors account for rising oceanic pollution more than other factors. | | |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |
| | Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|--|
| 16* | To what extent does the successful management of oceanic resources require international cooperation? AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the management of oceanic resources. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the management of oceanic resources could potentially include: The concept of the global commons – so management is a broad responsibility. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the management of oceanic resources. | | • Concept of the tragedy of the commons – collective responsibility leads to exploitation by individuals as the cost is shared. |
| | Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the management of oceanic resources. | | Frameworks for management such as UNCLOS Management through international treaties. Management through organisations such as the UN |
| | Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the management of oceanic resources. | | or the EU. |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which international cooperation is required to successfully manage oceanic |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how international cooperation can affect the management of ocean resources. | | resources could potentially include: Management has different degrees of international cooperation depending on the spatial zones from the shoreline, as set out by UNCLOS. There is a distance decay from national to international responsibility. It could be argued that countries are most likely to be proactive regarding management issues when there is physical proximity to the issue. |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which international cooperation is required to successfully manage ocean resources. | | So shore line management under national control is more successful than control of the high seas where international cooperation is required. Zones of management are disputed by individual countries particularly when access to resources is an issue. This means that international agreement |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how international cooperation can affect the management of ocean resources. | | is required but difficult to achieve. Different resources are managed in different ways, some require more international cooperation than others e.g. waste – issue of those producing the problem and the countries where the effects are being felt as a result of the waste being carried by ocean currents; fishing rights and oil spills are other examples of a complex issue. |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which international cooperation is required to successfully manage ocean resources. Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how international cooperation can affect the management of ocean resources. Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which international cooperation is required to successfully manage ocean resources. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited | | New issues are emerging which are not covered by current management agreements e.g. ocean acidification and bio prospecting, so international cooperation is needed to set out new approaches to management. With some management issues, there is no international agreement eg noise pollution. Other resources (eg declining and/or protected species) require international management agreement on a broad scale, however, in such situations decision making and consensus are difficult to achieve e.g. International Convention on Biological Diversity where the EU wanted 30% of oceans designated as Marine Protection Areas, other members wanted 10%, and only 3% of oceans are MPAs. International cooperation has proved difficult to achieve. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | accuracy of how international cooperation can affect the management of ocean resources. | | |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which international cooperation is required to successfully manage ocean resources. | | |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |
| | Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------------------|--|
| 17* | Examine the extent to which globalisation impacts on the | 33 | Indicative content |
| | AO2 AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) | AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | AO1 – 9 marks |
| | | | Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of |
| | | | globalisation and its impact on the food industry could |
| | | | potentially include: |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of globalisation and its impact on the food industry. | | Understanding of the meaning of globalisation as relates to the food industry. Greater interconnectedness has allowed greater flows of |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) | | people and goods which has impacted trade in food, diets and consumer choice. |
| | Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of globalisation and its impact on the food industry. | | Global food tastes have changed due to increased travel. |
| | Level 2 (3–4 marks) | | There is wider availability of food due to |
| | Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of globalisation and its impact on the food industry. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of globalisation and its impact on the food industry. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | improvements in transport systems e.g. |
| | | | containerisation. |
| | | | Involvement of TNCs has impacted food producti |
| | | | and prices. |
| | | | Diets have changed with increased affluence and |
| | | | flows of information and people, and the spread of |
| | | | global fast food restaurants and retail chains. |
| | | | • Short term food relief is readily available due to |
| | AO2 | | improvements in transport networks. |
| | Level 4 (19–24 marks) | | |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and | | |
| | understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how globalisation impacts on | | AO2 – 24 marks |
| | the food industry. | | Application of knowledge and understanding to analys |
| | | | and evaluate the extent to which globalisation impacts the food industry could potentially include: |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and | | Improvements in transportation allow food production |
| | understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated | | to travel over long distances and be available all |
| | evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational | | year round. This has impacted on people's |
| | conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which | | expectations, particularly in ACs, that a wide |
| | globalisation impacts the food industry. | | selection of foods are readily available and also i |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|--|
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | marko | has led to concerns over the environmental impact of 'food miles'. Despite the fact that globalisation has allowed food |
| | understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how globalisation impacts on the food industry. | | to be transported over much greater distances than ever before, there remain issues with food distribution ie: there is overconsumption and waste in some areas and food shortages in others. |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational | | • globalisation has not particularly led to increased efficiency in the distribution of food from areas of surplus to areas of need. |
| | conclusions as to the extent to which globalisation impacts the food industry. | | Globalisation has led to an increase in agribusiness and farming on an industrial scale. Whilst this has impacted on increased supply for |
| | Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. | | some, it has had negative consequences for traditional food systems and small scale farmers who are unable to compete. Sometimes TNCs |
| | Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some | | have more control over the agricultural sector in a country than the national government. |
| | accuracy of how globalisation impacts on the food industry. | | Patterns of food trade have been impacted by globalisation; this has had positive effects for som countries (eg those who benefit from multilateral |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which globalisation impacts the | | and bilateral trade agreements). However, often poor countries are left marginalized and unable to compete. |
| | food industry. | | As affluence increases in developed and developing nations, dietary habits change and |
| | Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | | generally there is more consumption of dairy, mea and convenience foods. This can have health implications if these foods are consumed in exces |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how globalisation impacts on the food industry. | | obesity is now a global issue hence the term globesity. This has knock on impacts for health care costs with the diet related non-communicable diseases. |

| Question Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|--|-------|---|
| Question Answer Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which globalisation impacts the food industry. Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. O marks No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 1 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be | Marks | Guidance The wide availability of food can lead to impacts on supply and price crises. Agribusiness production methods can lead to increased supply over demand and prices fall making small scale producers less competitive. The positive impacts of the global sharing of new technology is considered an opportunity presented by globalisation but again some small-scale farmers do not have access to the knowledge sharing or the economic means to participate and so are left disadvantaged by this impact. |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|--|
| 18* | Evaluate the effectiveness and sustainability of techniques used to improve food security. AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of techniques used to improve food security. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of techniques used to improve food security could potentially include: Food security is a broad term covering the physical and economic access to sufficient quantities of food but also to safe and nutritious food. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of | | Techniques exist at a range of scales: |
| | techniques used to improve food security. | | Different project sizes |
| | Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of techniques used to improve food security. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of techniques used to improve food security. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Large scale projects such as engineering schemes ensuring water supply through the construction of dams and reservoirs; large investments in research and food technology – GM crops, HYV crops. Small scale projects such as sack gardening in shanty towns; small cooperatives – organiponicos in Cuba. Use of appropriate technology – tools manufactured locally to be effective in local conditions, rainwater harvesting. Different time scales |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how different techniques can be used to improve food security. | | Short term relief Long term system redesign Educating people in healthy and nutritious diets. |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to the effectiveness and sustainability of techniques used to improve food security. | | Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the effectiveness and sustainability of techniques used to improve food security could potentially include: The answer should draw on a range of techniques, however, some techniques can be effective but not |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. | | sustainable or sustainable but not as effective as other methods. |
| | Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how different techniques can be used to improve food security. | | • Exploration of the concept of sustainability – farming techniques that help present generations to meet their food requirements but do not compromise the ability of future generations to do the same. |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the effectiveness and sustainability of | | • Large scale technological investments in agriculture often lead to farming practices (eg mono culture and intensification) which damage the environment and decrease soil fertility so they are effective in the short term but not sustainable. |
| | techniques used to improve food security. Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. | | • Large scale or high tech projects often impact local farming communities in a negative way as they cannot afford the costly inputs; this does not give long term sustainability to farming at a local scale. |
| | Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how different techniques can be used to improve food security. | | • Sustainable techniques include agro ecology, conservation agriculture and organic farming which can achieve long term sustainability but in some examples are not widely effective to meet food security issues – e.g. in ACs organic produce is beyond the economic means of some families. |
| | Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the effectiveness and sustainability of | | • Sustainability can be improved by short term positive methods to give farmers a renewed incentive e.g. improved access to markets can trigger motivation. |
| | techniques used to improve food security. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | | • Farmers in LIDCs are slow to adapt to technological innovations and sophisticated machinery making them less effective. |
| | Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how different techniques can be used to improve | | • Education, training and engagement with local farmers is often an effective and sustainable route to improving food security. The local knowledge of farmers provides an invaluable input to the design |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|---|---|
| | food security. | | of new farming techniques. |
| | Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the effectiveness and sustainability of techniques used to improve food security. | | • A range of examples can be used to illustrate the points above; the specification requires detailed examples from 2 case studies. |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. | | |
| | 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | |
| | Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | on presented is in the most-part relevant and d by some evidence. mation has some relevance and is presented with ructure. The information is supported by limited | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |
| | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------------------------|--|
| 19* | Assess the extent to which the decision to live in tectonically active locations is determined by economic factors. AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of reasons for living in tectonically active locations. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the reasons for living in tectonically active locations could potentially include: Economic reasons: jobs and income from tourism, cheap geothermal energy, valuable minerals nearby, lack of income to move, taking advantage of cheaper housing. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of reasons for living in tectonically active locations. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of reasons for living in tectonically active locations. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of reasons for living in tectonically active locations. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of reasons for living in tectonically active locations. Marks No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Social reasons: low perception of hazard risk, low frequency of hazard, family and community are more important than the hazard risk, new building design and protection methods mean that people feel safe, a sense of security from evacuation and warning systems. Environmental: in areas highly dependent on agriculture volcanic soils are very fertile. AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which the decision to live in tectonically active areas is determined by economic factors could potentially include: |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing analysis that is fully accurate of how economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas. Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational conclusions that are evidence based as to whether economic | | There will be variations in the basis of decisions between ACs and LIDCs – in an AC such as Japan there will be a high level of confidence in prediction and prevention and in ACs also support from insurance is much greater. In LIDCs there are few who can afford insurance and there is less investment in technology for prevention, protection and prediction. Individuals make risk assessments based on a range of factors – economic but also social, as they |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|--|
| | factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas more than any other factors. Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. | | relate to their personal circumstances. Some individuals have a strong sense of belonging and emotional attachment to a place and have a low perception of risk. |
| | Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas. | | • Some individuals will not have the financial means to move or the skills set or opportunities to move elsewhere to a different job. They may also lose money in a house sale (negative equity) or even find it impossible to sell their home. |
| | Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to whether economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas more than any other factors. Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. | | Hazard perception is an important concept – some hazards are very infrequent, Pinatubo erupted in the Philippines in 1991 but it had been 600 years since the previous eruption. Concept of inertia – it has always been like this. A range of examples can be used to illustrate the points above; the specification requires 2 x 2 case studies. |
| | Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some accuracy of how economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas. Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to whether economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas more than any other factors. Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|----------|
| Question | Answer Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas. Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to whether economic factors influence the decision to live in tectonically active areas more than any other factors. | Marks | Guidance |
| | Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. 0 marks | | |
| | No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response | | |
| | Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. | | |
| | Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. | | |
| | Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. | | |

| | Que | estio | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|--|-----|-------|---|-------|----------|
| | | | Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | | |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------------------------|--|
| 20* | To what extent is it possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes? AO1 Level 4 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the hazards arising from earthquakes and their management. | 33 AO1 x9 AO2 x24 | Indicative content AO1 – 9 marks Demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the hazards arising from earthquakes and their management could potentially include: The following earthquake hazards: All result in potential for loss of life on a large scale, injury, economic loss and long term trauma. |
| | Level 3 (5–6 marks) Demonstrates thorough knowledge and understanding of the hazards arising from earthquakes and their management. Level 2 (3–4 marks) | | • Ground shaking – collapse of buildings, damage to infrastructure, displacement to rocks and ground surface, disruption to natural drainage surface water supplies and groundwater. |
| | Demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of the hazards arising from earthquakes and their management. | | Tsunamis – destruction of buildings and infrastructure, coastal flooding. |
| | Level 1 (1–2 marks) Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of the hazards arising from earthquakes and their management. 0 marks | | Landslides and rockfalls – destruction of property and infrastructure Ground subsidence – slope failure, infrastructure damaged, large structures such as dams may fail leading to flooding. |
| | No response or no response worthy of credit. | | Liquefaction – natural features e.g. river banks collapse, building structures affected, buildings collapse. |
| | AO2 Level 4 (19–24 marks) | | After shocks – these can take emergency services by surprise and cause further injury, loss of life, damage and destruction. |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a clear, developed and convincing | | The following strategies to manage hazards: |
| | analysis that is fully accurate of how hazards arising from earthquakes can be managed. | | Land use zoning – land uses with high economic cost of repair or potential for high loss of life moved to low risk sites. |
| | Demonstrates comprehensive application of knowledge and understanding to provide a detailed and substantiated evaluation that offers secure judgements leading to rational | | building design – fire proof materials, steel frames, shock absorbers, |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| | conclusions that are evidence based as to the extent to which it is possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes. | | Education, warning systems, insurance. |
| | Relevant concepts are authoritatively discussed. Level 3 (13–18 marks) Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | | AO2 – 24 marks Application of knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the extent to which it is possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes could potentially include: |
| | understanding to provide a clear and developed analysis that shows accuracy of how hazards arising from earthquakes can be managed. Demonstrates thorough application of knowledge and | | Opportunities and potential success in management of the hazards resulting from earthquakes vary with level of economic development, resources available, level of expertise and technology available. |
| | understanding to provide a detailed evaluation that offers generally secure judgements, with some link between rational conclusions as to the extent to which it is possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes. | | Management can also vary over time as progress is made in prediction and protection technology and scientists' understanding of long term patterns of hazard activity which can make management more effective. |
| | Relevant concepts are discussed but this may lack some authority. Level 2 (7–12 marks) Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and understanding to provide a sound analysis that shows some | | • New organisations e.g. WAPMERR aim to bring together different agencies in hazard management however, such organisations are dependent on both human and financial resources. They can give predictions but 100% accuracy in hazard prediction and planning is unlikely to occur. |
| | accuracy of how hazards arising from earthquakes can be managed. Demonstrates reasonable application of knowledge and | | A range of methods should be considered in the context of 2 case studies, as the specification requires, at contrasting levels of economic development. |
| | understanding to provide a sound evaluation that offers generalised judgements and conclusions, with limited use of evidence as to the extent to which it is possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes. | | Evaluation points may include: personal choice eg whether to invest in home protection or insurance; perception of risk eg education and safety drills may be met with complacency by residents if they perceive the hazard risk as low due to infrequency, |
| | Concepts are discussed but their use lacks precision. | | Warning systems are not always accurate and this |

| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| | Level 1 (1–6 marks) Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide a simple analysis that shows limited accuracy of how hazards arising from earthquakes can be managed. Demonstrates basic application of knowledge and understanding to provide an un-supported evaluation that offers simple conclusions as to the extent to which it is possible to manage hazards arising from earthquakes. Concepts are not discussed or are so inaccurately. 0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit. Quality of extended response Level 4 There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 3 There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. Level 1 The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. | Marks | can result in loss of confidence in them and economic cost of disruption. Even in LIDCs there are effective means of hazard management and expert knowledge, it should not be assumed that only ACs can engage in effective management although obviously, their access to human and financial resources does make a difference to resilience. Sometimes natural factors come into play which cannot be managed – the unpredictable nature of earthquakes, the physical geography of a country may mean that emergency services are hindered. The extent to which hazards can be managed in the short and long term will vary across different countries and this impacts on how long the effects are felt, in LIDCs the hazard impact from earthquake events may well be more long lasting. |

Mark Scheme

Assessment Objectives (AO) grid Candidates answer two of questions 1 to 5, two of questions 6 to 10 and two of questions 11 to 15. This has been considered in the totals indicated below

| Question | AO1 | indicated below. | AO3 | Marks |
|----------|-----|------------------|-----|-------|
| 1a | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 1b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2a | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 2b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 3a | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 3b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4a | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 4b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5a | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 5b | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| 11* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 12* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 13* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 14* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 15* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 16* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 17* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 18* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 19* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| 20* | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 42 | 60 | 6 | 108 |

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553 Cambridge



© OCR 2018