

GCE

Mathematics

Advanced GCE

Unit 4733: Probability and Statistics 2

Mark Scheme for June 2011

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2011

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications PO Box 5050 Annesley NOTTINGHAM NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622 Facsimile: 01223 552610

E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

1		Number all the houses	B1	Any mention of using house numbers, or houses, or other numbering. (List can be implied).
1			DI	
		sequentially, or use house numbers	D.1	Not random numbering unless correct subsequent method (e.g. sort them numerically)
		Select using random numbers	B1	Mention random numbers. <i>Not</i> "select numbers randomly". Must be random method.
				NB: Using 263 × calculator Rand # is biased: B0. But "Ran#(263)" is unbiased.
		Ignore numbers > 263	B1 3	Bear with problem of 2005, or repeats.
				"Select 20 random numbers between 1 and 263": B1B0
				[If this, need to mention repeats to get last B1]
				Example: "put numbers/house names (etc) into hat and select": B1B0B0
2	α	$\mu = \frac{48 + 57}{} = 52.5$	M1	Use symmetry to find μ
		$\mu = \frac{48 + 57}{2} = 52.5$	A1	Obtain $\mu = 52.5$
		$\Phi^{-1}(0.9332) = 1.5$	B1	1.5 seen, e.g. in $4.5 \div 1.5$
		$4.5 \div 1.5 \qquad [\sigma=3]$	M1	$4.5 \div \text{their } \Phi^{-1}$, or $1.645 \div \text{their } \Phi^{-1}$, must be +ve, allow cc
	β		M1	57 - 4
	Р	$\frac{57-\mu}{\sigma} = 1.5, \frac{48-\mu}{\sigma} = -1.5$	A1	$\frac{57 - \mu}{2} = z, \frac{48 - \mu}{2} = -z \text{ M1 for one, ignoring cc, } \sigma^2, \text{ sign or "1 -" errors, RHS must be } \Phi^{-1} \text{ (not } \Phi$
		σ σ	711	
		Solve simultaneously:	B1	[e.g. 0.8246 or 0.5267] or 0.0668 or 0.9332); A1 for both completely correct except for value of z.
		$\mu = 52.5$ $[\sigma = 3]$	A1	z = 1.5 or -1.5 in at least one equation
				Solve without obvious errors, get $\mu = 52.5$, OK from wrong z [NB: 52.5 from both signs wrong: A0]
		$\mu + \frac{4.5}{1.5} \times 1.645$	M1	$\mu + z\sigma$ [Their μ and σ , anything recognisable as z] [expect to see 52.5 + 3×1.645]
		$\frac{\mu + \frac{1.5}{1.5} \times 1.043}{1.5}$	B1	z = 1.645 seen
		= 57.4 (35)	A1 7	Answer in range [57.4, 57.45], ewo
3		$= 57.4(35)$ CV $20 - \frac{5}{\sqrt{16}} \times 2.326 = 17.0925$	M1	Attempt $20 - 5z/\sqrt{16}$, allow SD \leftrightarrow var errors, allow $20 \pm 5z/\sqrt{16}$, not $20 + 5z/\sqrt{16}$, allow cc
		$\frac{\text{CV } 20 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} \times 2.326 - 17.0923}{\sqrt{16}}$	B1	2.326 seen
		V10	A1	CV a.r.t. 17.1 [NB: not 17.9075]
		P(X > 17.0925)	M1*	Standardise any attempt at a CV (from $\mu = 20$) with 15 and any SD that would have got first M1,
				allow cc
		$= \Phi\left(\frac{17.0925 - 15}{5/\sqrt{16}}\right) = \Phi(1.674)$	A1	z = 1.674 seen or implied, e.g. by $p = 0.047$ or 0.953 or 0.9535, allow anything in range [1.67, 1.68]
		$5/\sqrt{16}$	dep M1	Probability < 0.5 , or > 0.5 if their CV is < 15
		Answer 0.0471	A1 7	Answer, a.r.t. 0.047 [including 0.0465 from CV 17.1]
			' ' '	
				Notes: 16 missing: can get M0B1A0M1A0M1A0, or even last two A1's if 16 used then

4	(i)		M1 A1 2	Positive parabola, all above axis. [Don't worry about being pointed unless extreme.] Correct place, touches <i>x</i> -axis, not beyond the limits suggested by their axes, symmetric ends, not too straight
	(ii)	$\frac{\frac{3}{16} \int_{0}^{4} x^{2} (x-2)^{2} dx}{= \frac{3}{16} \left[\frac{x^{5}}{5} - x^{4} + 4 \frac{x^{3}}{3} \right]_{0}^{4}} \qquad [= 6 \frac{2}{5}]$ $\sigma^{2} = 6 \frac{2}{5} - 2^{2}$ $= 2 \frac{2}{5}$	M1	Attempt $\int x^2 f(x) dx$, limits 0 and 4
			M1	Method for integration, e.g. multiply out [indept] [Or use $\sigma^2 = \frac{3}{16} \int_0^4 (x-2)^4 dx$]
			B1	Correct indefinite integral, limits not needed, e.g. parts: $\frac{3}{16} \left[\frac{x^2(x-2)^3}{3} - \frac{x(x-2)^4}{6} + \frac{(x-2)^5}{30} \right]$
			B1	Subtract 2 ²
			A1 5	Final answer 2.4, any equivalent exact form, cwo
	(iii)	No because x represents a value taken by the random variable [not an event that "occurs"]	B1 1	Show clear understanding that x is a value of X . Usual misunderstanding is " X is an event that may or may not occur, depending on x ". However:
				SR: Allow B1 for answer clearly indicating that probabilities higher where curve
				higher, <i>or</i> clearly stating that all probabilities are effectively zero. E.g.: "Agree as area under graph [or " $f(x)$ "] increases", or "minimum at 2" B1
				"True only between 0 and 4": B0 unless explanation
				Mention of variance etc: 0. "Agree because the graph shows this": B0
5	(i)	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1	Both: B2. Allow π . One error, B1, but x or r : 0. SEE NOTES AT START AND END
		B(10, 0.4)	M1	B(10, 0.4) stated or implied, e.g. N(4, 2.4) $[P(=1) [=0.0404]$ or $P(\ge 1) [=0.9940]$ or $P(<1)$ $[=0.0060]$ or Poisson or normal, or RH tail for CR, gets no more marks in (i)]
	α	$P(\leq 1) = 0.0464$	A1	This probability or 0.9536 only
		< 0.05 so reject H ₀	A1	Explicit comparison with 0.05, or 0.9536 with 0.95
	β	CR is ≤ 1 and compare 1	A1	Comparison needn't be explicit in this method
		Probability of this is 0.0464 A1	This probability needs to be seen	
		Reject H ₀ .	M1	Correct method, ✓, comparison and first conclusion
		Significant evidence that % who book with travel agents reduced	A1 √ 7	Interpreted in context, "evidence that" or equiv needed, ✓ on numbers
	(ii)	Can't deduce cause-and-effect	B1 1	Equivalent comment, regardless of answer to (i). Ignore wrong answer if right answer seen "Other factors haven't been considered" B1 "Sample is small", or "test may be wrong" B0

6	(i)	H_0 : $\mu = 24.3$; H_1 : $\mu \neq 24.3$	B1B1		Both: B2. 1 error, B1, but t, x etc: B0 SEE NOTES AT START AND END				
		$\bar{t} = 26.28$	B1		26.28 seen or implied				
			M1		Correct formula for biased estimate [= 41.405]				
		$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{50}{49} \left[\frac{36602.17}{50} - 26.28^2 \right]$	M1		Multiply by 50/49				
		= 42.25			[Single formula: M2, or give M1 if wrong but 49 divisor seen]				
		$z = \frac{26.28 - 24.3}{\sqrt{42.25/50}} = 2.154$	A1		42.25 or 6.5 seen or implied				
	α		M1		Standardise their \bar{t} with 24.3, $\sqrt{50}$, allow sign/ $\sqrt{/cc}$ errors, their variance				
			A1		2.15(4) <i>or p</i> in range [0.0153, 0.0158], <i>not</i> –2.154 unless 0.015(6) subsequently used, <i>not</i> 1-tail				
		< 2.576	A1		Compare z with ± 2.576 , or $p > 0.005$, or $2p$ with 0.01, not from $\mu = 26.28$				
	β	CV 242 25 42.25	M1		$24.3 + zs/\sqrt{50}$, allow cc, $\sqrt{\text{errors}}$, allow \pm but not – only. Not $26.28 - zs/\sqrt{50}$				
		CV $24.3 + 2.576 \times \sqrt{\frac{42.25}{50}}$	A1		$z = 2.576$, not from $\mu = 26.28$ or 50 omitted, not from 1-tail				
		= 26.67 and $26.28 < 26.67$			$z = 2.576$, not from $\mu = 26.28$ or 50 omitted, not from 1-tail Correct CV, \checkmark on z, and compare sample mean				
	·	Do not reject H_0 . Insufficient evidence of a	A1 M1		Conclusion, \checkmark , needs method, like-with-like, 50, not from $\mu = 26.28$, doesn't need correct z				
		change in maximum daily temperature.	A1 ✓	11	Contextualised, recognise uncertainty, \checkmark on numbers				
		······g· ··· ····· ···· ···· ··· ··· ·			NB: Clear evidence of $\mu = 26.28$: can't get last 4 marks. See exemplars γ and δ				
	(ii)	<i>n</i> is large	B1	1	This answer <i>only</i> or " $n >$ number" where number ≥ 29 , <i>not</i> both this and "distribution unknown".				
					But "n is large so we can approximate even though we don't know the distribution" is B1				
					"Possible as $n = 50$ " B0.				
7	(i)	Po(11)	M1		Po(11) stated or clearly implied				
		$1 - P(\le r) = 0.854$ gives $r = 14$	M1		Find 1 – 0.146 in tables, e.g. answer 14 [RH tail, e.g. "7", or single value only: max M1M0A0]				
	L	so $n = 15$	A1	3	$n = 15$ only, allow " ≥ 15 "				
	(ii)	$Po(44) \approx N(44, 44)$	M1		Normal, mean attempted 2.2×20				
		$\Phi\left(\frac{37.5-44}{\sqrt{44}}\right) = \Phi(-0.980)$	A1		Both parameters 44, allow var = $\sqrt{44}$ or 44^2				
		$\left \begin{array}{c} \Psi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{44}} \right) \end{array} \right $	M1		Standardise, their 44, allow cc , \sqrt{errors} , e.g. ans 0.283 or 0.2036 or 0.4411, $not \div 20$				
		0.1625	A1	_	$\sqrt{\text{ and cc both correct}}$				
	ļ	= 0.1635	A1	5	Answer in range [0.163, 0.164]				
	(iii)	B(40, 0.146)	M1		B(40, 0.146) stated or implied, e.g. by Po(5.84)				
		\approx N(5.84, 4.98736)	M1		Normal, attempt at mean = np [Poisson etc, or exact binomial (0.22132): no more marks]				
		$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{7.5 - 5.84}{\sqrt{4.98736}}\right) = 1 - \Phi(0.7433)$	A1		Both parameters correct [Poisson(5.84) \rightarrow N(5.84, 5.84): M0A0]				
		$1 - \Phi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4.98736}} \right)$ M1			Standardise with their np and npq , allow cc, $\sqrt{\text{errors}}$, e.g. ans 0.3838 or 0.302 or 0.370				
			A1 A1	6	cc and √ both correct				
		= 0.2286	AI	6	Answer in range [0.228, 0.229]				
					SC: $B(40, 0.854) \approx N(34.16, 4.98736)$: can get full marks, but if $R > 7$ used, max 3				

8	(a) (i)	Several calls may all refer to the same incident	B1	1	Any reason showing correct understanding of "independent", but not just "singly" or equivalent. Ignore extra condition(s) unless clearly wrong in which case B0. Not "fires" independent. "Fires might spread" B0
	(ii)	Calls occur at constant average rate	B1		This condition only, allow "average" omitted, <i>not</i> "constant <i>probability</i> ", <i>not</i> "random" unless clearly correct interpretation follows. No third condition unless fully justified by subsequent answer. Need contextualising <i>somewhere</i> in this part.
		E.g. No, because incidents are less/more common at night	В1	2	Any comment (with either yes or no) showing correct understanding, but "Fires might not occur at constant average rate" is not enough (gets B1 B0) "Different rates at different times of year": B0
	(b) (i)	$1 - \left(1 + 2.74 + \frac{2.74^2}{2!}\right)e^{-2.74}$	M1 M1		Formula for any one correct Poisson probability for $r \ge 1$ $[1 - (0.06457 + 0.17692 + 0.24238)]$ Correct overall formula, allow 1 error (e.g. 1 term extra or missing or no "1 -")
		= 0.516(1)	A1	3	Answer, a.r.t. 0.516 [Interpolation (0.51604) or no working: B0 or B3]
	(ii)	$ = 0.516(1) $ $ (e^{-2} \times 1)(e^{-3} \times 3) + (e^{-2} \times 2)(e^{-3} \times 1) $ $ = 0.0337 $	M1 A1 A1	3	Two correct terms multiplied, or all 4 bits seen, e.g. $.1353 \times .1494 + .2707 \times .4979 = 0.0202 + 0.0135$ Correct expression Answer, a.r.t. 0.0337
	(iii)		M1 M1 A1 A1	4	Correct algebraic expression [Ignore 1! throughout] Take out factor of $e^{-\lambda} \times e^{-\mu}$ or equivalent essential step Correctly obtain exact answer [allow $e^{-\lambda - \mu}(\lambda + \mu)$] All correct, and write down correct formula for $P(T = 1)$ [NB: T needed] Allow working towards middle SR: $\lambda = 2$, $\mu = 3$: Can get M1M1A1A0 if e^{-2} and e^{-3} retained. As soon as decimal approximations seen, no more marks.

Specific examples for question 5(i)

α	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1		δ	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1	
	N(4, 2.4)				B(10, 0.4)	M1	
	$P(\le 1) = 0.0533$	A0			$P(\geq 1) = 0.9939$	A0	
	> 0.05				> 0.95	A0	
	So do not reject H ₀ . Insufficient evidence that % who	M0			So reject H ₀	M0	
	book with travel agents reduced		3		Insufficient evidence that % who book with travel agents	A0	3
					reduced		
β	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1		3	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p \neq 0.4$ [two-tailed]	B1B0	
'	B(10, 0.4)	M1			B(10, 0.4)	M1	
	" $P(=1) = 0.0464$ " [allow this]	A1			" $P(=1) = 0.0464$ "	A1	
	< 0.05	A1			> 0.025	A0	
	So reject H ₀	M1			So do not reject H ₀	M1	
	Insufficient evidence that % who book with travel	A0	6		Insufficient evidence that % who book with travel agents	A1	5
	agents reduced				reduced		
γ	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1		ζ	H_0 : $p = 0.4$; H_1 : $p < 0.4$	B1B1	
'	B(10, 0.4)	M1			B(10, 0.4)	M1	
	P(=1) = 0.0404 [look out for this]	A0			P(=1) = 0.0464	A1	
	< 0.05 so reject H ₀	A0			[no explicit comparison]	A0	
	Significant evidence that % who book with travel	M0			So reject H ₀ . Significant evidence that % who book with	M1	
	agents reduced	A0	3		travel agents reduced	A1	6

Specific examples for question 6(i)

α	H ₀ : $\bar{t} = 24.3$; H ₁ : $\bar{t} \neq 24.3$ [wrong symbol] \bar{t} not seen explicitly [implied by] $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left[\frac{36602.17}{50} - 26.28^2\right] = 41.405$ [biased est] $z = \frac{26.28 - 24.3}{\sqrt{41.405/50}} = 2.1758$ < 2.576 Accept H ₀ , maximum temp unchanged [over-assertive, otherwise A1]	B0B0 B1 M1 M0 A0 M1 A0 A1 M1A0	5	δ	$H_0 = 24.3$; $H_1 \neq 24.3$ [missing symbol] $\bar{t} = 26.28$ $\hat{\sigma}^2 = = 42.25$ $z = \frac{24.3 - 26.28}{\sqrt{42.25/50}} = -2.154$ [loses 1] > -2.576 Insufficient evidence to reject H_0 . No change in maximum daily temperature. [OK]	B1 onl B1 M1M1 A1 M1 A0 A1 M1 A1	
β	H ₀ : $\mu = 26.28$; H ₁ : $\mu \neq 26.28$ [WRONG] $\bar{t} = 24.3$ [explicitly] $\hat{\sigma}^2 = = 42.25$ $z = \frac{26.28 - 24.3}{\sqrt{42.25/50}} = 2.154$ [allow this – BOD] < 2.576 Accept H ₀ . Insufficient evidence of a change in maximum daily temperature.	B0B0 B0 M1M1 A1 M1 A1 A1 A1	8	8	H ₀ : μ = 24.3; H ₁ : μ > 24.3 [one-tail] \bar{t} = 26.28 $\hat{\sigma}^2$ = = 42.25 $z = \frac{26.28 - 24.3}{\sqrt{42.25/50}}$ = 2.154 < 2.326 Accept H ₀ . Insufficient evidence of a change in maximum daily temperature.	B1B0 B1 M1M1 A1 M1 A1 A0 M1 A1	9
γ	H ₀ : $\mu = 26.28$; H ₁ : $\mu \neq 26.28$ [WRONG] \bar{t} not seen separately [implied] $\hat{\sigma}^2 = = 42.25$ $z = \frac{24.3 - 26.28}{\sqrt{42.25/50}} = -2.154$ [DON'T allow this] > -2.576 Accept H ₀ . Insufficient evidence of a change in maximum daily temperature.	B0B0 B1 M1M1 A1 M1 A0 A0 M0 A0	5	η	$z = \frac{24.3 - 26.28}{\sqrt{42.25/50}} = -2.154 but \ then$ So $p = 0.0156 > 0.005 [OK \ here]$ Accept H ₀ . Insufficient evidence of a change in maximum daily temperature. $z = \frac{26.28 - 24.3}{\sqrt{42.25}} = 0.3046 \ [no \ \sqrt{50}]$ < 2.576 Accept H ₀ . Insufficient evidence of a change in maximum daily temperature.	M1 A1 A1 M1	(6)

The following guidance notes are provided.

1 Standardisation using the normal distribution.

- In *stating* parameters of normal distributions, don't worry about the difference between σ and σ^2 , so allow N(9, 16) or N(9, 4²) or N(9, 4). When *calculating* $\frac{\overline{x} \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$, the following mistakes are accuracy mistakes and not method mistakes so can generally score M1A0: confusion of σ with σ^2 or $\sqrt{\sigma}$; n versus \sqrt{n} ; wrong or no continuity corrections.
- (b) Use of $\frac{\mu \overline{x}}{\sigma}$ instead of $\frac{\overline{x} \mu}{\sigma}$ is not penalised if it leads to a correct probability, but if the candidate is using a z-value in a hypothesis test, an answer of z = -2.15 when it ought to be 2.15 is an accuracy error and loses the relevant A1. When finding μ or σ^2 from probabilities, some candidates are taught to use $\frac{\mu \overline{x}}{\sigma}$ whenever $\mu > \overline{x}$; provided the signs are consistent this gains full marks.
- Some candidates are taught to calculate, for example, P(X > 5) from N(9, 16) by calculating instead P(X < 13). This is a correct method, though it looks very strange the first time you see it.
- (d) When calculating normal approximations to binomial or Poisson, use of the wrong, or no, continuity correction generally loses the last two marks: A0 A0.

Conclusions to hypothesis tests. There are generally 2 marks for these.

- (a) In order to gain M1, candidates must not only say the correct "Reject/do not reject H_0 " but have done the whole test in essence correctly apart from numerical errors. In other words, they must have compared their p value with a critical p value or other "like-with-like" (e.g. *not* say 0.0234 with 1.96), using the correct tail (e.g. *not* –2.61 with +2.576), and the working should in general have accuracy errors only. Thus miscalculation of z, comparison with 1.645 instead of 1.96, or using n instead of \sqrt{n} , or omission of a continuity correction when it is necessary, are all accuracy errors and the candidate can still gain the last M1 A1. Omission of \sqrt{n} where it is necessary is a method mistake and so gets M0. In hypothesis tests using discrete distributions, use of $P(\le 12)$ or $P(\ge 12)$ or P(= 12) when it should be $P(\ge 12)$ is a method mistake and usually loses all the final marks in a question.
- (b) The A1 mark is for interpreting the answer *in the context of the question*, and *without over-assertiveness*. Thus "The mean number of applicants has increased" is over-assertive and gets A0 (although we allow "There is sufficient evidence to reject H₀. The mean number of applicants has increased", A1), and "There is sufficient evidence that the mean has increased" is not contextualised, so that too is A0.
- (c) A wrong statement such as -2.61 > -2.576 generally gets B0 for comparison but can get the subsequent M1A1. Otherwise:
- (d) If there is a self-contradiction, award M1 only if "Reject/Accept H₀" is consistent with their comparison. Thus if, say, we had $z = 2.61 > z_{crit} = 2.576$: "Reject H₀, there is insufficient evidence that the mean number of ... has changed" is M1A0. but "Do not reject H₀, there is evidence that the mean number of ... has changed" is M0A0.
- (e) We don't usually worry about differences between "Reject H_0 " and "Accept H_1 " etc.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

14 – 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553

