

Mark Scheme (Results)

October 2021

Pearson Edexcel GCE

In Mathematics (9MA0)

Paper 31 Statistics

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

October 2021
Question Paper Log Number P68828A
Publications Code 9MA0_31_2111_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2021

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded.
 Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

EDEXCEL GCE MATHEMATICS

General Instructions for Marking

- 1. The total number of marks for the paper is 100.
- 2. The Edexcel Mathematics mark schemes use the following types of marks:
 - **M** marks: method marks are awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
 - **A** marks: Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
 - **B** marks are unconditional accuracy marks (independent of M marks)
 - Marks should not be subdivided.

3. Abbreviations

These are some of the traditional marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- bod benefit of doubt
- ft follow through
- the symbol $\sqrt{\text{will}}$ be used for correct ft
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only. There must be no errors in this part of the question to obtain this mark
- isw ignore subsequent working
- awrt answers which round to
- SC: special case
- oe or equivalent (and appropriate)
- dep dependent
- indep independent
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- * The answer is printed on the paper
- The second mark is dependent on gaining the first mark

- 4. For misreading which does not alter the character of a question or materially simplify it, deduct two from any A or B marks gained, in that part of the question affected.
- 5. Where a candidate has made multiple responses <u>and indicates which response</u> they wish to submit, examiners should mark this response.


 If there are several attempts at a question <u>which have not been crossed out</u>, examiners should mark the final answer which is the answer that is the <u>most complete</u>.
- 6. Ignore wrong working or incorrect statements following a correct answer.
- 7. Mark schemes will firstly show the solution judged to be the most common response expected from candidates. Where appropriate, alternatives answers are provided in the notes. If examiners are not sure if an answer is acceptable, they will check the mark scheme to see if an alternative answer is given for the method used.

Qu 1	Scheme		Marks	AO
(a)	Disadvantage: e.g. Not random; cannot use (reliably) for inferences			1.1b
(b)	[Sight or correct use of] $X \sim B(36, 0.08)$. 0.4 < 🗷	M1	3.3
(i)	P(X = 4) = 0.167387 aw		A1	1.1b
(ii)	$[P(X \geqslant 7) = 1 - P(X \leqslant 6) =] 0.0$)22233 awrt <u>0.0222</u>	A1	1.1b
			(3)	
(c)	P(In dance club and dance tango) = $0.4 \times 0.08 = 0.4 \times 0.08$	$0.032 \text{ or } \frac{4}{125} \text{ or } 3.2\%$	B1	1.1b
			(1)	
(d)	[Let $T =$ those who can dance the Tango. Sight or	r use of] $T \sim B(50, \text{``}0.032\text{''})$	M1	3.3
	$[P(T < 3) = P(T \le 2) =] 0.7850815$	awrt <u>0.785</u>	A1	1.1b
			(2)	
				arks)
(a)	Note	es		
(a)	B1 for a suitable disadvantage:	D. NOT. II.	(D 0)	
	Allow (B1) Not random or less random (o.e.)	Do NOT allow	(B0)	
		Not representative Less accurate		
	`	Any comment based on tim	e or cost	
	` '	Any mention of skew	0 01 0051	
		Any mention of non-respon	se	
(b)	M1 for sight of B(36, 0.08) Allow in words: <u>binomial</u> with $n = 36$ and $p = 0.08$ may be implied by one correct answer to 2sf <u>or</u> sight of $P(X \le 6) = 0.97776$ i.e. awrt 0.98			
(i)	Allow for $36C4 \times 0.08^4 \times 0.92^{32}$ as this is "correct use"			
(i) (ii)	1 st A1 for awrt 0.167 NB An answer of just awrt 0.167 scores $M1(\Rightarrow)1^{st}$ A1 2^{nd} A1 for awrt 0.0222			
(c)	B1 for 0.032 o.e. (Can allow for sight of 0.4×0.08)			
(d)	M1 for sight of B(50, "0.032") ft their answer to (c) provided it is a probability ≠ 0.08 may be implied by correct answer			
	or sight of $[P(T \le 3)] = 0.924348$ i.e. awrt 0.4 A1 for awrt 0.785	924 or $P(T \le 2)$ as part of	$1 - P(T \leqslant$	2) calc.
MR	Allow MR of 50 (e.g. 30) provided clearly a	attempting $P(T \leqslant 2)$ and sco	ore M1A0	

Qu 2	Scheme	Marks	AO
(a)	Negative	B1	1.2
(b)	Mana's avagastion is compatible because it's pagative completion	(1)	
(b)	Marc's suggestion is compatible because it's negative correlation	B1	2.4
		(1)	
(c)	(r =) -0.54458266 awrt -0.545	B1	1.1b
(d)	$H_0: \rho = 0 \qquad H_1: \rho < 0$	B1 (1)	2.5
(u)	$[5\% \text{ 1-tail cv} =] (\pm) \text{ 0.4259}$	M1	1.1a
	(significant result / reject H ₀)	IVII	1.14
	There <u>is</u> evidence of negative <u>correlation</u> between the <u>number of letters</u> in	A1	2.2b
	(or <u>length</u> of) a student's last <u>name</u> and their first <u>name</u>	(2)	
		(3)	
		(6 mark	(s)
	Notes		
(a)	B1 for "negative" Allow "slight" or "weak" etc Allow a description e.g. "as x increases y decreases" or in context e.g. "p	aonla with	longer
	last names tend to have shorter first names"	copie with	longer
	A comment of "negative skew" is B0		
	Need to see distinct or separate responses for (a) and (b))	
(b)	B1 for a comment that suggests data is compatible with the suggestion and	a suitable 1	reason
	such as "there is negative correlation" or a description in x and y or in co		
	or the points lie close to a line with <u>negative gradient</u>	. aammatih	10
	or draw line $y = x$ and state that more points below the line so supports (or is with) his suggestion	<u>s compano</u>	<u>ie</u>
	A reason based on just a single point is B0		
	e.g. "11 letters in last name has only 5 in first name"		
(c)	B1 for awrt -0.545		
(d)	B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of ρ		
(u)	M1 for a critical value compatible with their H ₁ :		
	1-tail: awrt \pm 0.426 (condone \pm 0.425) or 2-tail (B0 scored for H ₁): awr	rt <u>+</u> 0.497	
	If hypotheses are in words and can deduce whether one or two-tail then		ords.
	If no hypotheses or their H_1 is not clearly one or two tail assume one-tail A_1 for compatible signs between cv and r and a correct conclusion in conte		ino
	correlation and number of letters or length and name (ft their value from		iiig
	Do NOT award this A mark if contradictory comments or working seen		ept H ₀ "
NID	or comparison of 0.426 with significance level of 0.05 etc		
NB	The M1A1 can be scored independently of the hypotheses		

Qu 3	Scheme	Marks	AO
(a)	Hectopascal or hPa	B1	1.2
(b)	$\overline{x} = \overline{y} + 1010$ or $\frac{214}{30} + 1010$	(1) M1	1.1b
	= 1017.1333 awrt 1017	A1	1.1b
	$\sigma_x = \sigma_y$ (or statement that standard deviation is not affected by this type of coding)	(2) M1	3.1b
	type of coding) $\left[\sigma_{y} = \int \sqrt{\frac{5912}{30} - ("7.13[33]")^{2}} \underline{\text{or}} \sqrt{146.1822}\right]$	M1	1.1b
	= 12.0905 awrt <u>12.1</u>	A1 (3)	1.1b
(d)	High pressure (since approx. $mean + sd$) so clockwise Locations are (from North to South): Leuchars, Heathrow, Hurn	B1	2.4
	Wind direction is direction wind blows $\underline{\text{from}}$ So: Heathrow (NE) Hurn (E) Leuchars (W)	B1 (2)	2.2a
		(8 mark	s)
	Notes 2		
FYI	$1 \text{ hPa} = 100 \text{ Pa}; 10 \text{hPa} = 1 \text{ kPa}; 1\text{Pa} = 1 \text{ Nm}^{-2}$		
(a)	B1 for "hectopascal" <u>or</u> hPa (condone pascals, allow millibars <u>or</u> mb) Do NOT allow kPa <u>or</u> kilopascals <u>or</u> Pa on its own	o.e.	
(b)	M1 for a strategy to find \overline{x} Allow an attempt to find $\sum x$ that gets as far as $\sum x = \sum y - 30 \times 10^{-2}$ A1 for awrt 1017 (accept 1020) [Ignore incorrect units]	1010 [= 30	514]
(c)	1 st M1 for an overall strategy using the fact $\sigma_x = \sigma_y$ (can be implied by	correct fin	al ans)
	or for $\sum x = 30514$ and $\sum x^2 = 31041192$ (both seen and corre		
	2^{nd} M1 for a correct expression (with $\sqrt{}$)(ft their \overline{y} to 3sf) allow awrt 1		5.1822
	or for correct expression in x can ft their $\sum x > 30000$ or their an		
	A1 (dep on 2 nd M1) for awrt 12.1 [Ignore incorrect units]		
Final answer	Final ans of awrt 12.1 scores $3/3$ but if they then adjust for x e.g. add	1010 (M0	M1A1)
(d)	1 st B1 for at least one of these reasons (these 2 lines) clearly stated (may see diagram) Need "high pressure" and "clockwise" to score on 1 st line Contradictory statements B0 e.g. correct N~S list but say "anticlockwise"		
	2 nd B1 (indep of 1 st B1) for deducing the 3 correct directions either in the table or stated as above If the answers in table and text are different we take the table (as question says)		

Qu 4	Scheme	Marks	AO	
(a)	$0.08 + 0.09 + 0.36 = \underline{0.53}$	B1	1.1b	
		(1)		
(b)(i)	$\left[P(G \cap E \cap S) = 0 \Rightarrow\right] \underline{p} = 0$	B1	1.1b	
(ii)	$[P(G) = 0.25 \implies] 0.08 + 0.05 + q + "p" = 0.25$	M1	1.1b	
	q = 0.12	A1	1.1b	
(c)(i)	「 5] r+"n" 5	(3) M1	3.1a	
(0)(1)	$\left[P(S \mid E) = \frac{5}{12} \implies \right] \frac{r + "p"}{r + "p" + 0.09 + 0.05} = \frac{5}{12}$	A1ft	1.1b	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 12r = 5r + 5 \times 0.14 \implies \end{bmatrix} \underline{r = 0.10}$	A1	1.1b	
(ii)	$[0.08 + 0.05 + "0.12" + "0" + 0.09 + "0.10" + 0.36 + t = 1 \implies] t = 0.20$	B1ft	1.1b	
		(4)		
(d)	$P(S \cap E') = 0.36 + "q" [= 0.48]$	B1ft	1.1b	
	$P([(S \cap E')] \cap G) = "q"[=0.12]$ and $P(G) = 0.25$ and			
	$P(S \cap E') \times P(G) = "0.48" \times \frac{1}{4} \text{ or } 0.12$	M1	2.1	
	$P(S \cap E') \times P(G) = 0.12 = P(\lceil (S \cap E') \rceil \cap G)$ so are independent	A1	2.2a	
	$1 (S + 12) \times 1 (O) = 0.12 = 1 ([(S + 12)] + 10)$ so are independent	(3)	2.2a	
		(11 mar)	ks)	
	Notes		,	
(a)	B1 for 0.53 (or exact equivalent) [Allow 53%]			
(b)(i)	B1 for $p = 0$ (may be placed in Venn diagram)			
(ii)	M1 for a linear equation for q (ft letter "p" or their value if $0 \le p \le 0.12$) \Rightarrow by $p + q = 0.12$			
	A1 for $q = 0.12$ (may be placed in Venn diagram)			
(c)(i)	M1 for a ratio of probabilities (<i>r</i> on num and den) (on LHS) with num < den	and num	or den	
(-)()	correct ft. Allow ft of letter "p" or their p where $0 \le p < 0.86$ but "+ 0" is not required.			
	1 st A1ft for a correct ratio of probabilities (on LHS) allowing ft of their p where $0 \le p < 0.86$			
(**)	2^{nd} A1 for $r = 0.1(0)$ or exact equivalent (may be in Venn diagram) Ans only 3/3			
(ii)	B1ft for $t = 0.2(0)$ (o.e.) or correct ft i.e. $0.42 - (p + q + r)$ where p , q , r and t are all probs			
(d)	B1ft for $P(S \cap E') = 0.48$ (with label) (ft letter "q" or their value if $0 \le q \le 0.12$)			
	M1 for attempting all required probs (labelled) and using them in a correct test (allow ft of q)			
	A1 for all probs correct and a correct deduction (no ft deduction here)			
SC	No "P" If correct argument seen apart from P for probability for all 3 marks, award (B0M1A1) If unsure about an attempt using conditional probabilities, please send to review.			
-	11 unsure about an attempt using conditional probabilities, please sen	u to revie	77 •	



(b) $[P(150 < F < 175) =] 0.914840$ awrt $\underline{0.915}$ B1 (1) (c) $P(F > 160 150 < F < 175) = \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{P(150 < F < 175)} \text{ or } \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{"(b)"}$ M1 3.1 (4) (d) $H_0: \mu = 166.5$ $H_1: \mu < 166.5$ B1 2.5 [Let $X =$ height of female from 2 nd country] $\overline{X} \sim N \left(166.5, \left(\frac{7.4}{\sqrt{50}}\right)^2\right)$ M1 3.3 $P(\overline{X} < 164.6) = 0.03472$ A1 3.4	Qu 5	Scheme	Marks	AO
(b) $ P(F) = 152.399$ $ SZ = 152.399$ $ SZ = 152.399$ $ SZ = 152.399$ $ SZ = 1.19$ $ SZ$	(a)	Let $F \sim N(166.5, 6.1^2)$ $P(F < k) = 0.01 \Rightarrow \frac{k - 166.5}{6.1} = -2.3263$	M1	3.4
(b) $[P(150 < F < 175) =] 0.914840$ awrt 0.915 $[1.1]$ (1) $[1.1]$ $[1.$		0.1		1.1b
(c) $P(F > 160 \mid 150 < F < 175)$ $= \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{P(150 < F < 175)}$ or $\frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{"(b)"}$ $= \frac{O.7749487}{"0.91484"}$ $= \frac{O.7749487}{"0.91484"}$ $= \frac{O.7749487}{"0.91484"}$ $= \frac{O.84708}{V.500}$ Alft $= \frac{1.17}{V.500}$	(b)	[P($150 < F < 175$) =] 0.914840 awrt <u>0.915</u>	B1	1.1b
$ = \frac{0.7749487}{"0.91484"} $ $ = 0.84708 \text{ awrt } \underline{0.847} $ $ = 0.84708 \text{ awrt } 0.$	(c)		, ,	3.1b
Condone P(" \bar{X} " See and control of expressions (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) Si made ALT Condone P(" \bar{X} " Si Need to see " \bar{X} " Condone P(" \bar{X} " Si Need to see " \bar{X} " Condone truncation i.e. 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mai's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory part of mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory part of the first of 150.00 and 150.00 and 3.00 an		$= \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{P(150 < F < 175)} \underline{\text{or}} \frac{P(160 < F < 175)}{"(b)"}$	M1	1.1b
Condone P(" \bar{X} " See and control of expressions (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) Si made ALT Condone P(" \bar{X} " Si Need to see " \bar{X} " Condone P(" \bar{X} " Si Need to see " \bar{X} " Condone truncation i.e. 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mai's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory part of mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory part of the first of 150.00 and 150.00 and 3.00 an		$=\frac{0.7749487}{0.91484}$	A1ft	1.1b
(d) $H_0: \mu = 166.5$ $H_1: \mu < 166.5$		0.51101		1.1b
P(\overline{X} < 164.6) = 0.03472 [0.0347 < 0.05 so significant or reject H ₀] There is evidence to support Mia's belief Notes Notes Notes Notes Notes Notes Al for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(\frac{k-166.5}{61}) = -2.326$ Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (\Rightarrow by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (\Rightarrow by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use $\overline{X} \Rightarrow$ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if $P("\overline{X}" < 164.6)$ seen) Condone $P("\overline{X}" > 164.6) = 0.9652$ or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of CR or CV for \overline{X} : Need to see " $\overline{X}" < 164.7786$ or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for $\overline{X} \sim N(164.6,)$ If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and	(d)	$H_0: \mu = 166.5$ $H_1: \mu < 166.5$	1 1	2.5
[0.0347 < 0.05 so significant or reject H₀] There is evidence to support Mia's belief Notes (a) M1 for standardising (allow ±) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value 2.3 < z < 2.4 A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(\(\frac{k-1665}{61}\)) = −2.326 Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model (needn't use \(\overline{X}\) ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("\(\overline{X}\)" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("\(\overline{X}\)" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of CR or CV for \(\overline{X}\): Need to see "\(\overline{X}\)" < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd AA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for \(\vec{X}\) ~ N(164.6,) If they achieve \(p = \text{awrt} 0.035 \) (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		[Let $X = \text{height of female from } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ country}$] $\overline{X} \sim N \left(166.5, \left(\frac{7.4}{\sqrt{50}} \right)^2 \right)$	M1	3.3
There is evidence to support Mia's belief (A) (I 11 marks) Notes (a) M1 for standardising (allow ±) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value 2.3 < z < 2.4 A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(k−166.5) = −2.326 Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of CR or CV for X̄: Need to see "X̄" < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e. 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		$P(\overline{X} < 164.6) = 0.03472$	A1	3.4
 Notes (a) M1 for standardising (allow ±) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value 2.3 < z < 2.4 A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(½-166.5) = -2.326 Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Use of z value: Need to see Z = −1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Use of CR or CV for X̄: Need to see "X̄" < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 		<u> </u>	dA1	2.2b
 Notes (a) M1 for standardising (allow ±) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value 2.3 < z < 2.4 A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(k-166.5)/61) = -2.326 Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Lyse of z value: Need to see Z = -1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 				lra)
 (a) M1 for standardising (allow ±) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value 2.3 < z < 2.4 A1 for 152 or awrt 152.3 Ans only 2/2 [Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(k-166.5) = -2.326 Allow percentages instead of probabilities throughout. (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Use of z value: Need to see Z = -1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 		Notes	(11 mai	KS)
 (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of z value: Need to see Z = −1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 	(a)	M1 for standardising (allow \pm) with k, 166.5 and 6.1 and set equal to a z value $2.3 < z < 2.4$		
 (b) B1 for awrt 0.915 (c) 1st M1 for interpreting demand as an appropriate conditional probability (⇒ by 2nd M1) 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄"<164.6) seen Condone P("X̄">164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Use of z value: Need to see Z = −1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 			61 /	
 2nd M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (⇒ by 1st A1ft) 1st A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.847 (d) B1 for both correct hypotheses in terms of μ 1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use X̄ ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("X̄" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("X̄" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of z value: Need to see Z = −1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" M0 for X̄ ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and 	(b)			
1st M1 for selecting the correct model (needn't use \overline{X} ⇒ by standardisation or 1st A1) 1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P(" \overline{X} " < 164.6) seen) Condone P(" \overline{X} " > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made ALT Use of z value: Need to see Z = −1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for \overline{X} ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and	(c)	2^{nd} M1 for correct ratio of expressions (can ft their (b) on denominator) (\Rightarrow by 1^{st} A1ft) 1^{st} A1ft for a correct ratio of probs (can ft their "0.9148" to 3sf from (b) if > 0.775)		
1st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P("\bar{X}" < 164.6) seen) Condone P("\bar{X}" > 164.6) = 0.9652 or awrt 0.97 only if comparison with 0.95 is made Lyse of z value: Need to see Z = -1.8(15) and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st A Lyse of CR or CV for \bar{X}: Need to see "\bar{X}" < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for \bar{X} ~ N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and	(d)		or 1 st A1)	
ALT Use of z value: Need to see $Z = -1.8(15)$ and cv of ± 1.6449 (allow 1.64 or better) for 1st ALT Use of CR or CV for \overline{X} : Need to see " \overline{X} " < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for \overline{X} ~N(164.6,) If they achieve $p = \text{awrt } 0.035$ (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		1 st A1 for correct use of the correct model i.e. awrt 0.035 (allow 0.04 if P(" \bar{X}	"<164.6)	
ALT Use of CR or CV for \overline{X} : Need to see " \overline{X} " < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1 st A1 Condone truncation i.e 164.7 or better 2 nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention Mia's belief or mean height of females/women Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for \overline{X} ~N(164.6,) If they achieve p = awrt 0.035 (o.e. with z -value or CV of 166.3) and	ALT			
2 nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context. Must mention <u>Mia's belief</u> or <u>mean height of females/women</u> Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for $\overline{X} \sim N(164.6,)$ If they achieve $p = \text{awrt } 0.035$ (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		Use of CR or CV for \overline{X} : Need to see " \overline{X} " < 164.7786 or CV = (awrt 164.8) for 1 st A1		
Do NOT award if contradictory statements about hypotheses made e.g. "not sig" SC M0 for $\overline{X} \sim N(164.6,)$ If they achieve $p = \text{awrt } 0.035$ (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		2 nd dA1 (dep on M1A1 only) for a correct inference in context.		
SC M0 for $\overline{X} \sim N(164.6,)$ If they achieve $p = \text{awrt } 0.035$ (o.e. with z-value or CV of 166.3) and		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	"not sig"	
	SC			

Qu 6	Scheme	Marks	AO
(a)	[Sum of probs = 1 implies] $\log_{36} a + \log_{36} b + \log_{36} c = 1$	M1	3.1a
	$\Rightarrow \log_{36}(abc) = 1$ so $abc = 36$	A1	3.4
	All probabilities greater than 0 implies each of a , b and $c > 1$	B1	2.2a
	$36 = 2^2 \times 3^2$ (or 3 numbers that multiply to give 36 e.g. 2, 2, 9 etc.)	dM1	2.1
	Since a, b and c are distinct must be $(a = 2, b = 3, c = 6)$	A1	3.2a
(b)		(5)	
(D)	$(\log_{36} a)^2 + (\log_{36} b)^2 + (\log_{36} c)^2$	M1	3.4
	[=0.0374137+0.09398737+0.25]		
	= 0.38140 awrt <u>0.381</u>	A1 (2)	1.1b
		(2)	
		(7 mark	s)
	Notes		
(a)	1 st M1 for a start to the problem using sum of probabilities leading to eq'n	in a, b and	c
	1^{st} A1 for reducing to the equation $abc = 36$ [Must follow from their equation $abc = 36$ [Must follow	on.]	
NB	Can go straight from $abc = 36$ to the answer for full marks for part (a).	
	B1 for deducing that each value > 1 (may be implied by 3 integers all > 1	l in the nex	xt line)
	2 nd dM1 (dep on M1A1) for writing 36 as a product of prime factors <u>or</u>		
	3 values with product = 36 and none = 1		
a a	2^{nd} A1 for 2, 3 and 6 as a list or $a = 2$, $b = 3$ and $c = 6$		
SC Ans only	M0M0 If no method marks scored but a correct answer given score: M0A0B1M0A1 (2/5) This gets the SC score of 2/5 [Question says show your working clearly]		
Ansony	This gets the SC score of 2/3 [Question says show your working cr	carry	
(b)	M1 for a correct expression in terms of a , b and c or values; ft their integer	ars a , b and	c
	Condone invisible brackets if the answer implies they are used.		
	A1 for awrt 0.381		