

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2022

Pearson Edexcel GCE Mathematics

Advanced Subsidiary Level in Mathematics

Paper 21 8MA0/21 Statistics

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range
of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further
information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u> . Alternatively, you can get in
touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u> .

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

June 2022
Publications Code 8MA0_21_2206_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded.
 Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

EDEXCEL GCE MATHEMATICS

General Instructions for Marking

- 1. The total number of marks for the paper is 75.
- 2. The Edexcel Mathematics mark schemes use the following types of marks:
- **M** marks: method marks are awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
- A marks: Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
- **B** marks are unconditional accuracy marks (independent of M marks)
- Marks should not be subdivided.
- 3. Abbreviations

These are some of the traditional marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- bod benefit of doubt
- ft follow through
- the symbol $\sqrt{\text{ will be used for correct ft}}$
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only. There must be no errors in this part of the question to obtain this mark
- isw ignore subsequent working
- awrt answers which round to
- SC: special case
- oe or equivalent (and appropriate)
- dep dependent
- indep independent
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- * The answer is printed on the paper
- The second mark is dependent on gaining the first mark
- 4. All A marks are 'correct answer only' (cao.), unless shown, for example, as A1 ft to indicate that previous wrong working is to be followed through. After a misread however, the subsequent A marks affected are treated as A ft, but manifestly absurd answers should never be awarded A marks.

Qu	Scheme	Marks	AO
1. (a)	Negative (since gradient of regression line is negative)	B1	1.2
		(1)	
a \			
(b)	cm/day (o.e. e.g. cm day ⁻¹)	B1	2.2a
		(1)	
(c)	$3\times[\pm]1.1$	M1	3.4
	= decrease of 3.3 [cm]	A1	1.1b
		(2)	
(d)	19 is (well) outside the range [1, 10] <u>or</u> involves extrapolation (o.e.)	B1	2.4
	so (possibly) unreliable/ inaccurate (o.e.)		2.1
		(1))
	Notes	(5 mark	<u>.s)</u>
	Answers may be written within the question.		
(a)	B1 for stating "negative". Allow a correct interpretation e.g. as t increases then p decreases (o.e.) [ignore any values] B0 for contradictory statements e.g. "negative correlation since as t increases p increases"		
(b)	B1 for a correct description of the units (allow fraction, /, or "per" and allow	"d" for "d	day")
(c)	M1 for attempt at a calculation (allow use of $t = x$ and $t = x + 3$ followed by sthat should lead to 3.3)	subtraction	n
	A1 for correct description must include word "decrease" (o.e.) and value "3.3" Just seeing: 22-1.1×3=18.7 is M0A0 BUT going on to subtract 18.7 from 22 scores M1 Reaching 3.3 <u>and</u> stating "decrease" or "reduced" (o.e.) will score the A1 too An answer of – 3.3 without a word describing decrease (o.e.) will just score M1A0		
(d)	B1 for stating "unreliable" (o.e.) and giving a suitable reason based on idea of extrapolation Must have both statement about reliability and suitable reason e.g. $t = 19$ is too big or (Model is based on) t between 1 and 10 (only) [since this implies $t = 19$ is too big] Allow e.g. (model) "may not work" because of "extrapolation" Just saying "no" since "extrapolation" is B0 but "unreliable" (o.e.) since "extrapolation" is B1		

2. (a) [D = number of bags that are damp] D ~ B(35, 0.08) NB 0.08 = $\frac{2}{35}$ M1 3.3 (i) P(D = 2) = 0.2430497 awrt 0.243 A1 3.4 A	Qu	Scheme	Mark	AO		
(ii) $P(D=2) = 0.2430497$ awrt 0.243 $A1 = 3.4$ $A1 = 1.1b$						
(ii) $P(D > 3) = [1 - P(D_{,,} 3) = 1 - 0.69397] = 0.30602$ awrt 0.306 A1 1.1b (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3)	(i)	P(D=2) = 0.2430497 awrt 0.243	A1	3.4		
(b) $H_0: p = 0.08 H_1: p < 0.08$ $[X \sim] B(70, 0.08)$ $[X \sim] B(70, 0.08)$ $[P(X, y)] = 0.0739756$ awrt 0.074 $[0.074 < 0.10$ so significant, reject H_0 so] there is evidence to support supplier B 's claim (o.e.) Notes (a) Notes (b) Notes Notes (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of $P(D, y) = 0.0693$ $\frac{35}{2} = 0.08^2 \times (1 - 0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2^{md} A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D = 3) = 0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D3) = 0.539$ scores 2^{md} A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = 0.074$ (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, y) = 0.074$ (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, y) = 0.074$ (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and idea of support for Or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or $A \sim 0.000$ and A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H_0 so evidence to support B 's claim" 2^{md} A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H_0 etc	(ii)		A1	1.1b		
(b) $H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$ $[X \sim 1] B(70, 0.08)$ $[P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756$ awrt 0.074 $A1$ $A1$ $A1$ $A1$ $A1$ $A1$ $A1$ $A1$			(3)			
[X ~] B(70, 0.08) [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt 0.074 [0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject H ₀ so] there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.) Notes [A1] [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2}$ 0.08 ² × $(1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 [Si] A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) D1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X-B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc	(b)	$H_0: p = 0.08$ $H_1: p < 0.08$	1	2.5		
[0.074 < 0.10 so significant, reject Ho so] there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.) Notes Notes M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2}0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2^{nd} A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D=3)=0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D3)=0.539$ scores 2^{nd} A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of $P(D=3)=0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D=3)=0.539$ scores			M1	2.1		
there is evidence to support supplier B's claim (o.e.) Notes Notes Notes M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of $P(D_n, 3) = \text{awrt } 0.694$ (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2}0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1^{st} A1 for awrt 0.243 2^{nd} A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D=3) = 0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D3) = 0.539$ scores 2^{nd} A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X=2) = \text{awrt } 0.074$ Can allow this mark for CR of X , X provided $P(X, X=2) = 0.074$ (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, X=2)$ are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or $A \sim 2^{\text{nd}}$ A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept Ho so evidence to support B 's claim" $A > 2^{\text{nd}}$ A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject Ho etc		[P(X, 2)] = 0.0739756 awrt <u>0.074</u>	A1	1.1b		
(4) (7 marks)		$[0.074 < 0.10 \text{ so significant, reject H}_0 \text{ so}]$				
Notes Notes Notes Notes (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing (35/2) 0.08² × (1-0.08) ³⁵⁻² Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H₀ etc		there <u>is</u> evidence to <u>support</u> supplier <u>B's</u> <u>claim</u> (o.e.)		2.2b		
(a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept Ho so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			` ′	1)		
 (a) M1 for selecting a correct model: sight of or use of B(35, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 35)] May be implied by one correct answer or sight of P(D ,, 3) = awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing (35/2)0.08² × (1-0.08)³5-² Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 		Notes	(7 mar	rks)		
May be implied by one correct answer or sight of $P(D, \pi) = 3$ awrt 0.694 (or allow 0.693) or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D=3) = 0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D3) = 0.539$ scores 2^{nd} A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a condone poor notation e.g. $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inference in context Must mention $P(D, \pi) = 0.000$ for a correct inferen	(a)		ne B(0.08	3, 35)]		
or seeing $\binom{35}{2}0.08^2 \times (1-0.08)^{35-2}$ Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 (ii) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. $P(D=3)=0.306$ i.e. just mark ans $P(D3)=0.539$ scores $2^{\rm nd}$ A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X=2)=$ awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X , π 2 provided π [P(π , π , π)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if π -B(70, 0.08) and π -B(7) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or π -B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or π - π -Day A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support π -B's claim" π -Day A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc			`	, , , ,		
 Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept Ho so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject Ho etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 		0.693)				
 (i) 1st A1 for awrt 0.243 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H0 so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H0 etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 		or seeing $\binom{35}{2} 0.08^2 \times (1 - 0.08)^{35-2}$				
 (ii) 2nd A1 for awrt 0.306 (Condone poor use of notation e.g. P(D = 3) = 0.306 i.e. just mark ans P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 		Saying B(35, 8%) without a correct calculation would score M0				
 NB P(D3) = 0.539 scores 2nd A0 but would of course score M1 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 						
 (b) B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08] M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0 						
M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc	ND	1 (Ds) = 0.557 scores 2 No but would of course score MI				
M1 for sight or correct use of B(70, 0.08) [Condone B(0.08, 70)] May be implied by prob of 0.074 or better 1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X, 2 provided [P(X, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H0 so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H0 etc	(b)	B1 for both hypotheses correct in terms of p or π [Condone 8% for 0.08]	31			
1st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074 Can allow this mark for CR of X ,, 2 provided [P(X ,, 2)] = 0.074 (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			•			
Can allow this mark for CR of X , 2 provided $[P(X, 2)] = 0.074$ (or better) is seen [Can allow 0.07 if $X \sim B(70, 0.08)$ and $P(X, 2)$ are both seen] $2^{\text{nd}} A1$ (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention claim or B and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B 's claim" $2^{\text{nd}} A0$ if you see $0.0739 < 0.08$ so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0						
[Can allow 0.07 if X~B(70, 0.08) and P(X ,, 2) are both seen] 2 nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> or <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of <u>less</u> than 8% or A 2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2 nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		1 st A1 for final answer awrt 0.074 can condone poor notation e.g. $P(X = 2) = awrt 0.074$				
2 nd A1 (dep on M1A1 but independent of hypotheses) for a correct inference in context Must mention <u>claim</u> or <u>B</u> and idea of <u>support for</u> or <u>proportion/probability</u> (of damp bags) and idea of <u>less</u> than 8% or A 2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2 nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			(or better) is seen		
Must mention claim or \underline{B} and idea of support for or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2^{nd} A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B 's claim" 2^{nd} A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0						
or proportion/probability (of damp bags) and idea of less than 8% or A 2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support B's claim" 2 nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0			e in conte	ext		
2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to support <i>B</i> 's claim" 2 nd A0 if you see 0.0739 < 0.08 so significant/ reject H ₀ etc MR 0.8 for 0.08 In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0						
In (a) allow M1 for B(35, 0.8) then A0A0		2 nd A0 for contradictory statements e.g. "accept H ₀ so evidence to su		s claim"		
	MR	0.8 for 0.08				
In (b) allow B1 for Hypotheses and M1 for B(70, 0.8) seen, then A0A0						
		In (b) allow B1 for Hypotheses and M1 for B(70, 0.8) seen, then A0A	ΔU			

Qu		Sche	eme	Mark	AO
3. (a)	Class	Frequency	Cum. Frequency		
	0-1	15	15	M1	2.1
	1-2	35	50		
	2 - 3.5	75	125	A1	1.1b
	3.5 – 4.5	55	180		
	$[Q_2 =] (3.5) + \frac{\frac{256}{2} - "125"}{"55"}$	$\times (4.5 - 3.5) \ \underline{\circ}$	$\frac{\text{or}}{(4.5)} - \frac{"180" - \frac{256}{2}}{"55"} \times 1$	M1	2.1
	= 3.5545	awrt <u>3.55</u>		A1	1.1b
a.			(9)	(4)	
(b)	Need area under curve to	be 256 so	$\int_{(0)}^{(0)} kx(8-x) \mathrm{d}x = 256$	M1	3.1a
	$k \left[4x^2 - \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_{(0)}^{(8)} = 256$			M1	1.1b
	}	$k \left[4 \times 8^2 - \frac{8}{3} \times 8 \right]$	$ 8^2 = 256 \Rightarrow \frac{k=3}{}$	A1	1.1b
		, -		(3)	
(c)	[By symmetry median =] <u>4</u>		B1	2.2a
				(1)	·ka)
	Notes (8 marks)				KS)
(a)	1 st M1 for an attempt to	form frequen	cy table (at least 1st 4 rows and freq o	<u>r</u> cum freq	seen
		•	orrect and can condone one error/om	ission in 15	5, 35, 55)
	Frequencies or cum freq may be seen on bars of the histogram				
	1 st A1 for identifying class, freq and cum freq (i.e. highlighted values from the table) or sight of 3.5-4.5, freq of 55 and "128" – 125 or 180 – "128"				
	or diagram with 125, "128", 180, 3.5 & 4.5				
	May be implied	May be implied by values in 2 nd M1expression			
	2^{nd} M1 for a correct calculation for Q_2 (condone error in end point e.g. 3.45 or 3.49 etc)				
				or 3.49 etc	e)
	Can ft their "125	" (provided >	100) and their "55"		
	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n	" (provided > + 1), usually s	100) and their "55" see 128.5 – leading to 3.5636 o	r awrt 3.56	
	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n = 2 nd A1 awrt 3.55 but 3.	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (al	100) and their "55" see 128.5 leading to 3.5636 of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed	r awrt 3.56	
	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n = 2 nd A1 awrt 3.55 but 3.	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (al	100) and their "55" see 128.5 – leading to 3.5636 o	r awrt 3.56	
(b)	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n 2nd A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (al with no incorrected to find	100) and their "55" tee $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.5636\dots$ of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed extract working scores $4/4$ the area under the curve by integrating	r awrt 3.56 l sight of $\frac{2^2}{3}$	
(b)	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n = 2 nd A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v 1 st M1 for identifying th 2 nd M1 for correct integr	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (al with no incorre e need to find ation and = 25	100) and their "55" see $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.5636\dots$ of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed act working scores $4/4$ the area under the curve by integrating 6 (condone missing limits)	r awrt 3.56 l sight of $\frac{2^2}{3}$	57 etc)
(b)	Can ft their "125 Allow use of (n = 2 nd A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v 1 st M1 for identifying th 2 nd M1 for correct integr	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (al with no incorre e need to find ation and = 25	100) and their "55" tee $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.5636\dots$ of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed extract working scores $4/4$ the area under the curve by integrating	r awrt 3.56 l sight of $\frac{2^2}{3}$	57 etc)
(b) (c)	Can ft their "125 Allow use of ($n = 2^{\text{nd}}$ A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v 1st M1 for identifying th 2nd M1 for correct integr A1 for $k = 3$ [M	" (provided > + 1), usually s 555 is fine (all with no incorrect e need to find ation and = 25 ay see use of c	100) and their "55" see $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.5636\dots$ of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed act working scores $4/4$ the area under the curve by integrating 6 (condone missing limits)	r awrt 3.56 I sight of $\frac{2^{n}}{2^{n}}$	57 etc)
	Can ft their "125 Allow use of ($n = 2^{\text{nd}}$ A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v 1st M1 for identifying th 2nd M1 for correct integr A1 for $k = 3$ [M	" (provided > + 1), usually so 555 is fine (all with no incorrect e need to find ation and = 25 ay see use of commswer to par	100) and their "55" tee $128.5 - \dots$ leading to $3.5636\dots$ of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being usedneed ext working scores $4/4$ the area under the curve by integrating 6 (condone missing limits) realculator for the integration so score	r awrt 3.56 I sight of $\frac{2^{n}}{2^{n}}$	57 etc)
	Can ft their "125 Allow use of ($n = 2^{\text{nd}}$ A1 awrt 3.55 but 3. Correct answer v 1 st M1 for identifying th 2 nd M1 for correct integr A1 for $k = 3$ [M NB The a B1 for 4 (Independent	" (provided > $+ 1$), usually so 555 is fine (all with no incorrect eneed to find ation and $= 25$ ay see use of conswer to parent of their values 5 and $x = 4$ gives	100) and their "55" thee 128.5 – leading to 3.5636 of low 3.56 if $(n + 1)$ being used need between working scores 4/4 the area under the curve by integrating 6 (condone missing limits) realculator for the integration so score at (c) may be written within the queries of k but must be their " x " value) we $y = 4$ so must be clear they intend	r awrt 3.56 I sight of $\frac{2^n}{2^n}$ M1A1 stion.	etc) together]

Scheme	Marks	AO

4. (a)	Accept 990 to 1030 inclusive	B1 (1)	1.1b
(b)	Any range between 10 and 50 inclusive	B1 (1)	1.1b
		(2 mark	s)
	Notes		
(a)	B1 (Median pressures usually around 1000~1020)	[LD	S mark]
(b)	B1 Any answer in this range Allow answers in the form $a \sim b$ where $ b-a $ is between 10 and 50 Also allow the case where both a and b are in [10, 50]	[LD	S mark]

Qu	benenic	Maik	AU
5. (a)(i)	Require $R = 3$ and $G = 4$ so probability is $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$	M1	2.1
	$=\frac{1}{4}$ or 0.25	A1	1.1b
(ii)	[R must be 2 and $G = 1$ so $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$] = $\frac{1}{6}$	A1	1.1b
(b)	P(X = 50) = 0.25 must mean $R = 3$ and $G = 4so 3m + 4n = 50$	(3) M1 A1	3.1a 1.1b
	$P(X = 20) = \frac{1}{6} \implies R = 2, G = 1$ so $2m + n = 20$ Solving: $3m + 4(20 - 2m) = 50$ (o.e.)	A1 M1	2.1 1.1b
	$\underline{m=6}$ and $\underline{n=8}$	A1 (5) (8 marks	3.2a
	Notes	(O maria	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(a)(i)			
(a)(1)	M1 for sight of $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ as a single product BUT allow e.g. $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \times $	$\times \frac{3}{4}$ to score	e M1
	However if the products are later added e.g. $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ it is M0		
	May be implied by one correct answer to (i) or (ii)		
	1		
	A1 for $\frac{1}{4}$ or 0.25 or exact equivalent (allow 25%)		
(ii)	A1 for $\frac{1}{6}$ or exact equivalent		
(b)	For the 1 st 4 marks condone incorrect labelling e.g. R for m or G for n if intention is clear 1 st M1 for identifying either set of cases $(R = 2, G = 1, X = 20)$ or $(R = 3, G = 4, X = 50)$ Allow 1 st M1 for $P(X = 20) = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3}$ or $P(X = 50) = \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$ NOT just $P(X = 20) = \frac{1}{6}$ etc or $\frac{1}{4}m + \frac{2}{3}n = 20$ or $\frac{3}{4}m + \frac{1}{3}n = 50$ and might score 2 nd M1 (answer is $m = 64, n = 6$)		
	or $\frac{1}{4}m + \frac{2}{3}n = \frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{3}{4}m + \frac{1}{3}n = \frac{1}{4}$ and might score 2^{nd} M1 (answer is n	$n=\frac{4}{15}$, $n=$	$=\frac{3}{20}$)
	or $2m + n = \frac{1}{6}$ or $3m + 4n = \frac{1}{4}$ and might score 2^{nd} M1 (answer is $n = \frac{1}{4}$		
	or $2m + n = 50$ and $3m + 4n = 20$ and might score 2^{nd} M1 (answer is m	n = 36, n =	−22)
	1 st A1 for one correct equation 2 nd A1 for both correct equations and no incorrect equations, unless they attempt to solve the correct 2 equations only		
Calc	2^{nd} M1 for attempt to solve <u>their</u> two linear equations in m and n (reduce to an equation in one variable, condone one sign error). May be implied by $m = 6$ and $n = 8$.		
Caic	If they use one of the 4 sets of equations for 1 st M1 and use a calculator to write down the answer, we will allow this mark for sight of the correct answers to those equations as given above.		
	3^{rd} A1 $m = 6$ and $n = 8$ only (no incorrect labelling here) Correct answer by trial can score 5/5 if no incorrect working seen.		

Mark

AO

Qu

Scheme